arXiv:1210.5170v2 [math-ph] 15 Nov 2012

Quantization of almost-circular orbits in the Fokker action formalism. General scheme.

Askold Duviryak*

Department for Computer Simulations of Many-Particle Systems,

Institute for Condensed Matter Physics of NAS of Ukraine, Lviv, UA-79011, Ukraine

(Dated: November 16, 2012)

Abstract

General two-particle system is considered within the formalism of Fokker-type action integrals. It is assumed that the system is invariant with respect to the Aristotle group which is a common subgroup of the Galileo and Poincaré groups. It is shown that equations of motion of such system admit circular orbit solutions. The dynamics of perturbations of these solutions is studied. It is described by means of a linear homogeneous set of time-nonlocal equations and is analyzed in terms of eigenfrequencies and eigenmodes. The Hamiltonian description of the system is built in the almost circular orbit approximation. The Aristotle-invariance of the system is exploit to avoid a double count of degrees of freedom and to select physical modes. The quantization procedure and a construction of energy spectrum of the system is proposed.

---

* duviryak@icmp.lviv.ua

I. INTRODUCTION

Fokker-type action integrals [1, 2] represent an approach to the relativistic particle dynamics which is alternative or complementary (depending on a point of view) to field-theoretical approaches. Known about for a century [3–5], but mainly owing to the Wheeler-Feynman electrodynamics [6, 7], this approach was generalized to other field-type relativistic interactions including cases of higher-rank tensor fields [8–10], gravitation [11–14], confining interactions [15–19] etc [20].

A variational problem based on the Fokker-type action describes a dynamical system with time non-locality, i.e., it leads to difference-differential or integral-differential equations of motion for which the Cauchy problem is unsuitable. Consequently, the study the phase space (i.e., a set of possible states), the construction of the Hamiltonian description and quantization of such a system are non-trivial tasks.

Serious effort was made to develop Hamiltonization procedure for the Fokker-type action integrals. In general, this is attained by means of reformulation of the problem into another but time-local form. Here we just mention two such schemes.

The first is a formal expansion of the Fokker-type action into the Lagrangian action with higher derivatives (of order up to infinity) [21, 22], with a subsequent use of a modified Hamilton-Ostrogradsky formalism [23]. In the second scheme developed by Llosa et al. [24, 25] the variational problem is reformulated into a static one for particle world lines treated as temporally extended strings. In practice both schemes can be realized approximately: in the first the quasi-relativistic approximations [23] are used, for the second the coupling-constant expansion method was developed [26]. Thus the resulting Hamiltonian description of an N-particle system is built on the 6N-dimensional phase space, as in a non-relativistic or free-particle case.

Among not numerous solutions to Fokker-type variational problems studied in literature the class of two-particle circular orbit *exact* solutions [27–29] is of particular interest. These solutions include domain of essentially relativistic motion of strongly coupled particles and thus they stand apart the field of application of quasi-relativistic approximations and a coupling constant expansion. In the case of the Wheeler-Feynman electrodynamics equations for small deviations from circular orbit were derived and studied [30]. The analysis revealed bifurcation points in the highly relativistic domain of the phase space where redundant (as to compare to a non-relativistic case) unstable degrees of freedom get excited. This result was approved by direct numerical [31, 32] and global analytical [33] study of the Wheeler-Feynman two-body variational problem. We do not discuss here a physical meaning of highly relativistic unstable solutions mentioned above. But on the whole the almost circular orbit (ACO) approximation turns out more informative and thus appropriate in the highly relativistic domain than the quasi-relativistic or weak coupling approximations.

Of physical interest is a study, in ACO approximation, of various Fokker-type systems, especially those which may have relevance to the relativistic bound state problems in the nuclear and hadronic physics. In particular, some Fokker-type systems with confining interaction may serve as relativistic potential model of mesons [15–18]. Thereupon the quantization procedure of Fokker-type models which is based on ACO approximation scheme should be developed. One can relay in this way on the Bohr quantization of circular orbits [29] and a heuristic suggestion [34] to use the Miller's quantum condition [35] for WKB quantization of ACO in the Wheeler-Feynman electrodynamics.

In this paper we propose a substantiate quantization recipe of a two-body Fokker-type problem of general form in ACO approximation. The recipe in based on an implicit Hamiltonian description of the system which, in turn, is built by means of Llosa scheme [25]. We suppose that a Fokker-type system is invariant with respect to the Aristotle group which is a common subgroup of the Galilei- and Poincaré groups. By this both non-reativistic as well as relativistic systems are involved into consideration. The symmetry with respect to time translations and space rotations results in the existence of generalized Noether integrals of motions [38], i.e., the energy and the total angular momentum. We show that, under rather general condition, a system admits a circular particle motion with a given constant angular velocity. It is taken as zero-order approximation for non-circular motions. In order to study a perturbation to circular orbit solution we use a uniformly rotating reference frame where circulating particles are motionless. Then ACO solution is formulated in terms of small deviations from fixed (and presumably equilibrium) particle positions. We obtain a time-nonlocal action principle for these deviations and derive corresponding linear homogeneous set of integral-differential equations of motion. Fundamental set of solutions to these equations can be expressed in terms of characteristic frequencies and amplitudes of generalized normal modes. The amplitudes are shown to be canonical variables, the frequencies are functions of the total angular momentum, and all they constitute a correction to zero-order circular-orbit energy. Then the quantization is trivial. In general, it must be complemented by some selection rules for separation of physical modes out from all variety of them. It is discussed in details.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we apply the ACO approximation method to a single-particle Lagrangian system which is rotary-invariant and local in time. This section has a rather methodological meaning since main points of the method are demonstrated, and useful definitions and notations are introduced there. In Sec. III the method is extended for a general Galilei-invariant two-particle system. We consider the latter as a time-local or slow-motion limit of a wide class of Fokker-type two-particle systems examined in Sec. IV. Perturbations to circular orbits are shown to be described by a linear set of time-nonlocal equations of motion. Symmetry and dynamical properties of this set is studied in various subsections of the Sec. IV as well as in appendices. In particular, in Appendix D the Hamiltonization and quantization of a linear nonlocal system is discussed in detail.

II. ROTARY-INVARIANT SINGLE-PARTICLE DYNAMICS

Let us consider a system of single particle which is invariant under the time translations $t \to t+\lambda$ and the space rotations $\boldsymbol{x} \to \mathsf{R}\boldsymbol{x}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathsf{R} \in \mathrm{O}(3)$ and $\boldsymbol{x} \equiv \{x_i;\ i{=}1,2,3\} \in \mathbb{E}^3$. The Lagrangian function $L(\boldsymbol{x},\dot{\boldsymbol{x}})$, satisfies the equality:

$$L(\mathsf{R}\boldsymbol{x},\mathsf{R}\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}) = L(\boldsymbol{x},\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}) \tag{2.1}$$

and thus has the following structure:

$$L(\boldsymbol{x},\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}) = L(\boldsymbol{x}^2,\ \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{x}},\ \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}^2) \equiv L(\alpha,\beta,\gamma). \tag{2.2}$$

Following the Noether theorem the energy E and angular momentum $\boldsymbol{J}$,

$$E = \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{p} - L, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \boldsymbol{x}\times\boldsymbol{p}, \tag{2.4}$$

are conserved; here $\boldsymbol{p} = \partial L/\partial\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}$ and ”×” denotes a vector product.

The system is exactly integrable with standard methods. We consider this example here in order to demonstrate the idea of the approximate method applied below to a Fokker-type system.

II.1. The description in a uniformly rotating reference frame

First of all we perform the coordinate transformation $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto \boldsymbol{z}$ corresponding to transition to a uniformly rotating reference frame:

$$\boldsymbol{x}(t) = \mathsf{S}(t)\boldsymbol{z}(t), \qquad \text{with} \quad \mathsf{S}(t) = \exp(t\Omega) \tag{2.5}$$

where $\Omega \in \mathfrak{o}(3)$ is a constant matrix. We introduce the vector $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ which is dual to Ω: $\Omega_k = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_k{}^{ij}\Omega_{ij}$. This vector determines the angular velocity $\Omega = |\boldsymbol{\Omega}|$ and the direction $\boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}/\Omega$ of rotation of a reference frame.

Using the equality $[\Omega\boldsymbol{v}]_i = (\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{v})_i$ we complement the coordinate transformation (2.5) by the velocity transformation:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \mathsf{S}\boldsymbol{u} \equiv \mathsf{S}(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}} + \Omega\boldsymbol{z}) = \mathsf{S}(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}} + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{z}) \tag{2.6}$$

and calculate the Lagrangian in the rotating reference frame:

$$\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{z}, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}; \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \equiv L(\mathsf{S}\boldsymbol{z}, \mathsf{S}\boldsymbol{u}). \tag{2.7}$$

This function of $\boldsymbol{z}$, $\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}$ is rotary invariant but with respect to the time-dependent realization of O(3): $\boldsymbol{z} \to \mathsf{S}^{-1}(t)\mathsf{R}\mathsf{S}(t)\boldsymbol{z} \equiv \mathsf{S}(-t)\mathsf{R}\mathsf{S}(t)\boldsymbol{z}$. The corresponding conserved quantity is the same vector of angular momentum $\boldsymbol{J}$ as in eq. (2.4).

Besides, the Lagrangian $\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{z}, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}; \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ does not depend on the time t explicitly, so that the conserved quantity $\tilde{E}$ exists although it differs from the energy (2.3):

$$\tilde{E} = \dot{\boldsymbol{z}} \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}} - \tilde{L}. \tag{2.8}$$

It is related with the integrals (2.3), (2.4) by means of the equality:

$$\tilde{E} = E - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}. \tag{2.9}$$

II.2. Circular orbit solutions

Let us consider the solution of the above dynamical problem which is static in the rotating reference frame: $\dot{\boldsymbol{z}} = 0$, $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{R}$. The Euler-Lagrange equations take the form:

$$\partial\tilde{L}/\partial\boldsymbol{z}\Big|_{\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}=0} = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Taking into account the structure (2.7), (2.2) of the Lagrangian, the equations (2.10) read:

$$\boldsymbol{R}L_\alpha - \boldsymbol{\Omega}\times(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{c})L_\gamma = 0, \tag{2.11}$$

where $L_\alpha = \partial L/\partial\alpha$ e.t.c.; in the present case $\alpha = \boldsymbol{R}^2$, $\beta = \boldsymbol{R}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{R}) = 0$, and $\gamma = (\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{R})^2 = \boldsymbol{\Omega}^2\boldsymbol{R}^2 - (\boldsymbol{\Omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{R})^2$.

Let us consider two cases. In the special case $\boldsymbol{R}\parallel\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ we have $\beta=\gamma=0$ while $\alpha = R^2 = |\boldsymbol{R}|^2$ must satisfy the equation $\alpha L_\alpha(\alpha,0,0) = 0$. The solution $\boldsymbol{x} = \mathsf{S}\boldsymbol{R}$ is truly static:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \mathsf{S}(\underbrace{\dot{\boldsymbol{R}}}_{\overset{\parallel}{0}} + \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{R}}_{\overset{\parallel}{0}}) = 0.$$

In general, $\boldsymbol{R}\nparallel\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. Then the eq. (2.11) determines both the direction of the vector $\boldsymbol{R}$,

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{R} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{x} = 0 \qquad\Longrightarrow\qquad \boldsymbol{R}\perp\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \qquad \boldsymbol{x}\perp\boldsymbol{\Omega},$$

as well as the relation of $R = |\boldsymbol{R}|$ and $\Omega = |\boldsymbol{\Omega}|$:

$$L_\alpha(R^2,0,\Omega^2R^2) + \Omega^2 L_\gamma(R^2,0,\Omega^2R^2) = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Thus $\boldsymbol{R} = R(\Omega)\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}$, where $\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}\perp\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, $|\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}| = 1$.

The values of the integrals of motion on the circular orbit solutions are:

$$\boldsymbol{J}^{(0)} = 2\boldsymbol{R}\times(\boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{R})L_\gamma^{(0)} = 2\boldsymbol{\Omega}R^2L_\gamma^{(0)}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\tilde{E}^{(0)} = -L^{(0)}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$E^{(0)} = 2\Omega^2R^2L_\gamma^{(0)} - L^{(0)} = \Omega J^{(0)} - L^{(0)}; \tag{2.15}$$

they depend on $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ only; here $L^{(0)}$, $L_\alpha^{(0)}$ e.t.c. denote values of corresponding functions on the circular orbit solution.

II.3. Equations of motion in the linear approximation

Let us put

$$\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{R} + \boldsymbol{\rho}, \qquad \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{v} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{\rho}, \qquad \text{with}\quad \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{R},$$

where $|\boldsymbol{\rho}| \ll |\boldsymbol{R}|$, and expand the Lagrangian (2.7) in the vicinity of the extremal point $\boldsymbol{R}$ up to quadratic (with respect to $\boldsymbol{\rho}$) terms. One obtains:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{z},\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}) &= \tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{R}+\boldsymbol{\rho},\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \approx \tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{R},\boldsymbol{0}) + \underbrace{\frac{\partial\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{R},\boldsymbol{0})}{\partial\boldsymbol{z}}}_{\substack{\| \\ 0}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{R},\boldsymbol{0})}{\partial\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}}\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}}_{\text{total derivative}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{R},\boldsymbol{0})}{\partial z^i\partial z^j}\rho^i\rho^j + 2\frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{R},\boldsymbol{0})}{\partial z^i\partial\dot{z}^j}\rho^i\dot{\rho}^j + \frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{R},\boldsymbol{0})}{\partial\dot{z}^i\partial\dot{z}^j}\dot{\rho}^i\dot{\rho}^j\right) \\ &\equiv L^{(0)} + L^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

(the argument $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ of $\tilde{L}$ is omitted here). Using the notations

$$L_i \equiv \frac{\partial\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{R},\boldsymbol{0})}{\partial z^i}, \qquad L_{\hat{\imath}} \equiv \frac{\partial\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{R},\boldsymbol{0})}{\partial\dot{z}^i} \qquad \text{e.t.c.} \tag{2.17}$$

we write down the second-order Lagrangian

$$L^{(2)} = \tfrac{1}{2}(L_{ij}\rho^i\rho^j + 2L_{i\hat{\jmath}}\rho^i\dot{\rho}^j + L_{\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}}\dot{\rho}^i\dot{\rho}^j), \tag{2.18}$$

and corresponding equations of motion:

$$L_{ij}\rho^j + (L_{i\hat{\jmath}} - L_{j\hat{\imath}})\dot{\rho}^j - L_{\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}}\ddot{\rho}^j = 0. \tag{2.19}$$

It is convenient to chose unit coordinate orts as follows: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3 \upuparrows \boldsymbol{\Omega}$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 \upuparrows \boldsymbol{R}$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 \upuparrows \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{R}$, and decompose the vector $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \{\rho^1, \rho^2, \rho^3\}$ into coordinate components. Then taking the rotary invariance of the Lagrangian into account (see Appendix A) one obtains the equations of motion in the following form:

$$L_{11}\rho^1 + (L_{1\hat{2}} + L_{\hat{2}}/R)\dot{\rho}^2 - L_{\hat{1}\hat{1}}\ddot{\rho}^1 - L_{\hat{1}\hat{2}}\ddot{\rho}^2 = 0, \tag{2.20}$$

$$-(L_{1\hat{2}} + L_{\hat{2}}/R)\dot{\rho}^1 - L_{\hat{1}\hat{2}}\ddot{\rho}^1 - L_{\hat{2}\hat{2}}\ddot{\rho}^2 = 0, \tag{2.21}$$

$$-\frac{L_{\hat{2}}}{R\Omega}(\Omega^2\rho^3 + \ddot{\rho}^3) = 0, \tag{2.22}$$

The equation (2.22) splits out from other ones of this set; it describes the harmonic oscillations in the direction $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3 \upuparrows \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ with the frequency Ω. Physically, this can be treated (in the linear approximation) as a motion of particle along a plane orbit, the normal to which differs from $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3$. Inother words, this mode combines with a circular orbit solution resulting a new one with the angular velocity $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} = \mathsf{R}\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ (where R is a rotation by some small angle). In order to avoid double counting of degrees of freedom one can assign the constraint $\rho^3 = 0$.

The equation (2.21) can be integrated out once:

$$(L_{1\hat{2}} + L_{\hat{2}}/R)\rho^1 + L_{\hat{1}\hat{2}}\dot{\rho}^1 + L_{\hat{2}\hat{2}}\dot{\rho}^2 = C, \tag{2.23}$$

with the integration constant C. Let us show that one can put $C = 0$ without loss of generality. Indeed, if $C \neq 0$, the set of equations (2.20), (2.23) possesses the solution $\rho^1 = \rho^1_0$, $\rho^2 = \rho^2_0 + \dot{\rho}^2_0 t$ with some constants ρ^1_0, $\dot{\rho}^2_0$ which are proportional to C (the constant ρ^2_0 falls out the equations and is unimportant). The variable ρ^2 grows beyond all bounds of applicability of the linear approximation unless $\rho^1 = 0$ and $\dot{\rho}^2 = 0$. On the other hand, the solution with $\rho^1 \neq 0$ or/and $\dot{\rho}^2 \neq 0$ can be treated (in the linear approximation) as a motion of particle along the circular orbit of the radius $\tilde{R} = R + \rho^1_0$ with the angular velocity $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega + \dot{\rho}^2_0/R$, i.e., as some zero-order solution. Thus, it is sufficient to put $C = 0$ yielding $\rho^2 = \rho^2_0$.

Apart these two modes (in $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2$ directions) which we will refer to as kinematic ones, the system (2.20)-(2.22) possesses the third dynamical mode which is of physical interest. Looking for a bounded solution of the linear set (2.20)-(2.22) we use the ansatz

$$\rho^i = e^i(\omega)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega t}, \tag{2.24}$$

real part of which makes a physical sense. Substituting this anzatz into (2.20)-(2.22) yields the set of equations $\mathcal{D}(\omega)\boldsymbol{e}(\omega) = 0$ for a polarization vector $\boldsymbol{e}(\omega)$ with the dynamical matrix

$$\mathcal{D}(\omega) = \left\| \begin{matrix} L_{11} + \omega^2 L_{\hat{1}\hat{1}} & \omega^2 L_{\hat{1}\hat{2}} - \mathrm{i}\,\omega \bar{L}_{1\hat{2}} & 0 \\ \omega^2 L_{\hat{1}\hat{2}} + \mathrm{i}\,\omega \bar{L}_{1\hat{2}} & \omega^2 L_{\hat{2}\hat{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{L_{\hat{2}}}{R\Omega}(\omega^2 - \Omega^2) \end{matrix} \right\|,$$

where $\bar{L}_{1\hat{2}} = L_{1\hat{2}} + L_{\hat{2}}/R$.

The secular equation:

$$\det \mathcal{D}(\omega) = \frac{L_{\hat{2}}}{R\Omega}\omega^2(\omega^2 - \Omega^2)\left\{L_{11}L_{\hat{2}\hat{2}} - \bar{L}^2_{1\hat{2}} + \omega^2\left[L_{\hat{1}\hat{1}}L_{\hat{2}\hat{2}} - L^2_{\hat{1}\hat{2}}\right]\right\} = 0$$

leads to three solutions for eigenfrequencies squared corresponding to three degrees of freedom of the system. The only one solution corresponds to the dynamical mode:

$$\omega_1^2 = \frac{\bar{L}^2_{1\hat{2}} - L_{11}L_{\hat{2}\hat{2}}}{L_{\hat{1}\hat{1}}L_{\hat{2}\hat{2}} - L^2_{\hat{1}\hat{2}}}. \tag{2.25}$$

If $\omega_1^2 > 0$, both values of eigenfrequencies $\pm\omega_1$ are real; they permit us, using the ansatz (2.24), to construct a physically meaningful real and bounded solution of equations (2.20)–(2.22).

Two other eigenfrequencies squared and corresponding eigenvectors,

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega_2^2 = 0, && \boldsymbol{e} = \{0,1,0\}; \\ &\omega_3^2 = \Omega^2, && \boldsymbol{e} = \{0,0,1\}, \end{aligned}$$

are images of the kinematical modes mentioned above.

II.4. Integrals of motion in the linear approximation

Let us start from the angular momentum $\boldsymbol{J}$. It can be presented as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \mathsf{S}\boldsymbol{z} \times \mathsf{S}\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}} = \mathsf{S}\,\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}, \tag{2.26}$$

where the vector

$$\boldsymbol{\Upsilon} \equiv \boldsymbol{z} \times \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Omega}} \tag{2.27}$$

is not, in general, conserved. Its components, in the linear approximation, can be presented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_i &= \varepsilon_{ij}{}^{k}(R^j + \rho^j)(L_{\hat{k}} + L_{\hat{k}l}\rho^l + L_{\hat{k}\hat{l}}\dot{\rho}^l + \ldots) \\ &\approx \varepsilon_{ij}{}^{k} R^j L_{\hat{k}} + \varepsilon_{ij}{}^{k}\{R^j(L_{\hat{k}l}\rho^l + L_{\hat{k}\hat{l}}\dot{\rho}^l) + L_{\hat{k}}\rho^j\} \\ &\equiv \Upsilon_i^{(0)} \qquad + \Upsilon_i^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

The components $\Upsilon_i^{(0)}$ and $\Upsilon_i^{(1)}$ have the explicit form:

$$\Upsilon_1^{(0)} = \Upsilon_2^{(0)} = 0, \qquad \Upsilon_3^{(0)} = R L_{\hat{2}}, \tag{2.29}$$

$$\Upsilon_1^{(1)} = L_{\hat{2}}\rho^3, \qquad \Upsilon_2^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{\Omega} L_{\hat{2}}\dot{\rho}^3, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\Upsilon_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{R}\left\{\bar{L}_{1\hat{2}}\rho^1 + L_{\hat{1}\hat{2}}\dot{\rho}^1 + L_{\hat{2}\hat{2}}\dot{\rho}^2\right\} \equiv \frac{C}{R}. \tag{2.31}$$

It is evidently that $\boldsymbol{J}^{(0)} = \mathsf{S}\,\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{(0)} = \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{(0)}$. Besides, the only kinematic modes but not the dynamical one contribute in $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{(1)}$ and thus in $\boldsymbol{J}^{(1)} = \mathsf{S}\,\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{(1)}$. It was pointed out in the previous subsection that we can put $\rho^3 = 0$ and $C = 0$ without loss of generality. Then $\boldsymbol{J}^{(1)} = \mathsf{S}\,\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{(1)} = 0$, and, in the given approximation, $\boldsymbol{J} \approx \boldsymbol{J}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$, where the function $\boldsymbol{J}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ is defined implicitly by (2.12)–(2.13).

Now we consider the energy of the system. First of all, we calculate the correction to the zero-order term $\tilde{E}^{(0)}$ (2.14) of the integral $\tilde{E}$ (2.8):

$$\tilde{E} \approx \tilde{E}^{(0)} + \tilde{E}^{(2)} \equiv -L^{(0)} + \tfrac{1}{2}\{L_{\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}}\dot{\rho}^i\dot{\rho}^j - L_{ij}\rho^i\rho^j\}. \tag{2.32}$$

So that the first nontrivial correction $\tilde{E}^{(2)}$ to $\tilde{E}^{(0)}$ is quadratic in ρ^i. It is evidently conserved by virtue of the equations of motion in the first-order approximation (2.20)-(2.22).

Further we are interested not in the integral $\tilde{E}$ but in the energy

$$E = \boldsymbol{\Omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{J} + \tilde{E} = \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}\cdot\frac{\partial\tilde{L}}{\partial\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}\cdot\frac{\partial\tilde{L}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\Omega}} - \tilde{L} \approx \boldsymbol{\Omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{J} - L^{(0)} + \tilde{E}^{(2)}. \tag{2.33}$$

It follows from the equalities (2.28)–(2.32):

$$\tilde{E}^{(2)} = \tfrac{1}{2}\{L_{\hat{\mathrm{i}}\hat{\mathrm{j}}}\dot{\rho}^{\mathrm{i}}\dot{\rho}^{\mathrm{j}} + L_{\mathrm{ij}}\rho^{\mathrm{i}}\rho^{\mathrm{j}}\} + \frac{\Omega}{2J_3^{(0)}}\Upsilon_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1)}\Upsilon^{(1)\mathrm{i}}. \tag{2.34}$$

where $\mathrm{i},\mathrm{j} = 1, 2$. On the other hand, within the given accuracy

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{J} = \Omega J_3 = \Omega\sqrt{\boldsymbol{J}^2 - J_{\mathrm{i}}J^{\mathrm{i}}} \approx \Omega\left\{J - \frac{J_{\mathrm{i}}J^{\mathrm{i}}}{2J}\right\} \approx \Omega J - \frac{\Omega}{2J_3^{(0)}}\Upsilon_{\mathrm{i}}^{(1)}\Upsilon^{(1)\mathrm{i}}.$$

Thus we obtain a useful equality

$$E \approx \Omega J - L^{(0)} + E^{(2)}, \qquad \text{where} \quad E^{(2)} = \tilde{E}^{(2)}\Big|_{\rho^3=0} \tag{2.35}$$

which holds with accuracy up to quadratic terms in ρ's.

II.5. Hamiltonian description and quantization.

The Legendre transformation $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\pi} = \partial\tilde{L}/\partial\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}$ leads to the Hamiltonian description with the Hamiltonian function $\tilde{H}(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\pi};\boldsymbol{\Omega})$ to be the integral of motion $\tilde{E}$ (2.8) in terms of canonical variables $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, $\boldsymbol{\pi}$.

The fixed auxiliary vector $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ was introduced to specify the rotating reference frame and then the circular orbit solution. In order to generate a set of all possible circular orbit solutions we let $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ to be a variable of angular velocity. It follows from (2.27) and (2.33) that the Legendre transformation with respect to both $\dot{\boldsymbol{z}} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ leads to the Hamiltonian description with the Hamiltonian function $H(\boldsymbol{\rho},\boldsymbol{\pi};\,\boldsymbol{\Upsilon})$ to be a conventional energy. Rotary invariance and a Hamiltonian constraint born from the identity (2.27) provides a proper balance of degrees of freedom in the phase space enlarged with the $\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}$ variable.

It is convenient in our case to proceed from the expression (2.33). First two terms depend on $J = |\boldsymbol{J}| = |\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}|$ and Ω only. Using (2.12), (2.13) one can express $\Omega = f(J)$. Thus, in zero-order approximation, we have the Hamiltonian:

$$H^{(0)}(J) = E^{(0)}(\Omega, J)\big|_{\Omega=f(J)}\,. \tag{2.36}$$

Similarly, coefficients L_{ij}, $L_{\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}}$ of the quadratic form $E^{(2)}$ in (2.35) turns into functions of $|\boldsymbol{J}|$. We note that within the Hamiltonian description the components J_i of the angular momentum $\boldsymbol{J}$ satisfy the Poisson bracket relations (PBR):

$$\{J_i, J_j\} = \varepsilon_{ij}{}^{k} J_k\,;$$

non-triviality of these PBR is due to the fact that original variables Ω^i are not velocities but quasi-velocities.

To complete the Hamiltonization one should eliminate in $E^{(2)}$ velocities $\dot\rho^{\mathrm{i}}$ ($\mathrm{i} = 1, 2$) in favour of canonical momenta $\pi_{\mathrm{i}} = \partial\tilde{L}^{(2)}/\partial\dot\rho^{\mathrm{i}}$ satisfying the PBR $\{\rho^{\mathrm{i}}, \pi_{\mathrm{j}}\} = \delta^{\mathrm{i}}_{\mathrm{j}}$ (others are trivial). For a quantization purpose it is better to use normal mode complex amplitudes A_α satisfying PBR: $\{A_\alpha, A^*_\beta\} = -\mathrm{i}\,\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ ($\alpha = 1, 2$ in our case). Then, using results of Subsec. II.3-4, the energy correction $E^{(2)}$ is put in the Hamiltonian form:

$$H^{(2)} = \sum\nolimits_\alpha \omega_\alpha |A_\alpha|^2 = \omega_r(J)|A_r|^2. \tag{2.37}$$

Here $\omega_r \equiv \omega_1\,(>0)$ is the characteristic frequency of dynamical mode (2.25); we redenoted the subscript $\alpha = 1 \to r$ to hint that this degree of freedom corresponds to radial oscillations. The radial frequency $\omega_r(J)$ is expressed in terms of J rather than Ω.

We note that the kinematic mode with the frequency $\omega_2 = 0$ is not oscillator-like and must be suppressed; the corresponding solution is discussed in Subsec. II.3 after eq. (2.23). The corresponding contribution in the Hamiltonian (2.37) drops out automatically.

Finally we have the Hamiltonian $H = H^{(0)} + H^{(2)}$ which is ready for quantization: variables are replaced by operators and then – by their eigenvalues as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{J} \to \hat{\boldsymbol{J}}; \qquad A_r \to \hat{A}_r, \quad A^*_r \to \hat{A}^\dagger_r;\\
&J \to \sqrt{\hat{\boldsymbol{J}}^2} \to \sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)}, \quad \ell = 0, 1, ...;\\
&|A_r|^2 \to \tfrac{1}{2}(\hat{A}_r\hat{a}^\dagger_r + \hat{a}^\dagger_r\hat{A}_r) \to n_r + \tfrac{1}{2}, \quad n_r = 0, 1, ...
\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

It is implied, due to a perturbation procedure, the condition $H^{(2)} \ll H^{(0)}$ which is mainly satisfied by $n_r \ll \ell$. Then $\sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)} \approx \ell + \frac{1}{2}$.

General structure of the Hamiltonian (2.36), (2.37) and its spectrum agree completely with corresponding results derived with the standard Hamilton-Jacobi and WKB methods. In Appendix B the quantization method is demonstrated on the example of a nonrelativistic particle in a power-law potential.

III. GALILEI-INVARIANT TWO-PARTICLE DYNAMICS

The rotary-invariant single-particle dynamics studied in the previous section gives us an important tool for the description of a two-particle system. Any isolated system, anyway the non-relativistic or relativistic one, possesses 10 conserved quantities: the energy E, the momentum $\boldsymbol{P}$, the angular momentum $\boldsymbol{J}$ and the boost $\boldsymbol{K}$. This is consequence of invariance under the action of a symmetry group: the Galilei group in the non-relativistic case, and the Poincaré group in the relativistic case. It is known, both in the non-relativistic and relativistic cases, that in the rest reference frame fixed by the condition $\boldsymbol{P} = 0$ (and also $\boldsymbol{K} = 0$ in the classical, i.e., non-quantum description) the two-particle dynamics can be reduced to an effective single-particle one with a residual symmetry group to be O(3)×T, (here T denotes the time translation group). Below we consider a non-relativistic two-particle system and reduce it to an effective single-particle one in the ACO approximation.

III.1. General dynamics and circular orbits

The Galilei-invariant two-particle Lagrangian has the following general form:

$$L(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2) = \sum_{a=1}^{2} \frac{m_a}{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a^2 + F(\boldsymbol{x}^2,\, \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{x}},\, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}^2) \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{2} \frac{m_a}{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a{}^2 + F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{x} \equiv \boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_2$. The corresponding 10 integrals of motion are:

$$E = \sum_{a=1}^{2} \frac{m_a}{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a^2 + \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}} - F, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \sum_{a=1}^{2} m_a \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \sum_{a=1}^{2} m_a \boldsymbol{x}_a \times \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a + \boldsymbol{x} \times \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\boldsymbol{K} = \sum_{a=1}^{2} m_a \boldsymbol{x}_a - t\boldsymbol{P}. \tag{3.5}$$

Non-inertial variables are introduced similarly to the single-particle case:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_a = \mathsf{S}\boldsymbol{z}_a, \qquad \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a = \mathsf{S}\boldsymbol{u}_a \equiv \mathsf{S}(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{z}_a) \equiv \mathsf{S}(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a + \boldsymbol{v}_a). \tag{3.6}$$

In these terms the Lagrangian

$$\tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2; \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \equiv L(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2) \tag{3.7}$$

does not depend on time t explicitly and thus it generates the corresponding integral of motion:

$$\tilde{E} = \sum_{a=1}^{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a} - \tilde{L}, \tag{3.8}$$

related to the original integrals (3.2), (3.4) by means of eq. (2.9).

Circular orbit solutions are determined by the conditions:

$$\partial \tilde{L}/\partial \boldsymbol{z}_a \Big|_{\substack{\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1=0 \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2=0}} = 0, \qquad a = 1, 2$$

which explicit form is:

$$- m_a \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{v}_a + 2(-)^{\bar{a}}(\boldsymbol{z}F_\alpha - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{v}F_\gamma) = 0, \qquad \bar{a} \equiv 3 - a. \tag{3.9}$$

Multiplying left- and right-hand sides of these equations by $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ yields:

$$2(-)^{\bar{a}} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{z} F_\alpha = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{z} \perp \boldsymbol{\Omega}. \tag{3.10}$$

We note that eqs. (3.9) are invariant under translations along $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, i.e., under the transformations $\boldsymbol{z}'_a = \boldsymbol{z}_a + \lambda \boldsymbol{n}$ with an arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, it is evidently that $\boldsymbol{z} \mapsto \boldsymbol{z}' = \boldsymbol{z}$, and also

$$\boldsymbol{v}'_a = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{z}'_a = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times (\boldsymbol{z}_a + \lambda \boldsymbol{n}) = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{z}_a = \boldsymbol{v}_a.$$

Taking into account the equality (3.10) one finds:

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_a = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot (\boldsymbol{z}_a - (\boldsymbol{z}_a - \boldsymbol{z}_{\bar{a}})) = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_{\bar{a}},$$

i.e., $z_a^{\|} \equiv \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_a = z_{\bar{a}}^{\|}$ but no information for the last quantity follows from eqs. (3.9). Thus one can choose

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_a = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{z}_a \perp \boldsymbol{\Omega},$$

which simplifies the system (3.9) to the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ m_1 \Omega^2 + 2[F_\alpha + \Omega^2 F_\gamma] \right\} \boldsymbol{z}_1 - 2[F_\alpha + \Omega^2 F_\gamma] \boldsymbol{z}_2 = 0, \\ -2[F_\alpha + \Omega^2 F_\gamma] \boldsymbol{z}_1 + \left\{ m_2 \Omega^2 + 2[F_\alpha + \Omega^2 F_\gamma] \right\} \boldsymbol{z}_2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $\alpha = z^2$, $\beta = 0$ and $\gamma = \Omega^2 z^2$.

The linear homogenous set of equations (3.11) possesses a non-trivial solution if its determinant vanishes:

$$\Omega^2 \left\{ m_1 m_2 \Omega^2 + 2(m_1 + m_2)[F_\alpha + \Omega^2 F_\gamma] \right\} = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

This is a relation between Ω and z. One solution of eq. (3.12) is: $\Omega = 0$. In this case the set (3.9) reduces to the equation $F_\alpha \boldsymbol{z} = 0$. If an interaction is not singular at $\boldsymbol{z} = 0$ then we have the solution $\boldsymbol{z} = 0$. Otherwise, the solution is determined by the equality $F_\alpha = 0$ which is the condition of extremum (here, the minimum) of the static potential of interaction. As in the single-particle case, this solution is not suitable.

Other roots of secular equation are determined by the condition:

$$\mu \Omega^2 + 2[F_\alpha + \Omega^2 F_\gamma] = 0, \qquad \Omega \neq 0 \tag{3.13}$$

(here $\mu = m_1 m_2/(m_1 + m_2)$ is the reduced mass) which being combining with (3.11) yields the set:

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} (m_1 - \mu)\boldsymbol{z}_1 + \mu \boldsymbol{z}_2 = 0 \\ \mu \boldsymbol{z}_1 + (m_2 - \mu)\boldsymbol{z}_2 = 0 \end{array}\right\} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{z}_1 = \boldsymbol{R}_1 \equiv \frac{m_2}{m_1+m_2}\boldsymbol{R} \\ \boldsymbol{z}_2 = -\boldsymbol{R}_2 \equiv -\frac{m_1}{m_1+m_2}\boldsymbol{R} \end{array}\right., \tag{3.14}$$

The relation of $R = |\boldsymbol{R}|$ and Ω is, evidently, defined by (3.13).

The values of the integral of motions (3.2)-(3.5) on a the circular-orbit solutions are as follows

$$\begin{array}{lll} \boldsymbol{P}^{(0)} = 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{K}^{(0)} = 0, & & \tilde{E}^{(0)} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\mu c^2 \Omega^2 - F^{(0)}, \\ \boldsymbol{J}^{(0)} = \boldsymbol{\Omega} R^2 (\mu + 2F_\gamma^{(0)}), & & E^{(0)} = R^2 \Omega^2 (\tfrac{1}{2}\mu + 2F_\gamma^{(0)}) - F^{(0)}; \end{array}$$

they obviously correspond to a rest of the system as a whole.

III.2. The dynamics in the ACO approximation

Similarly to the single-particle case one puts:

$$\boldsymbol{z}_a = (-)^{\bar{a}} \boldsymbol{R}_a + \boldsymbol{\rho}_a, \quad \boldsymbol{u}_a = \boldsymbol{v}_a + \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_a + \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{\rho}_a, \qquad \text{where} \quad \boldsymbol{v}_a = (-)^{\bar{a}} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{R}_a,$$

and expands the Lagrangian (3.7) in $\boldsymbol{\rho}_a$, $\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_a$. One gets $\tilde{L} \approx L^{(0)} + L^{(2)}$ where

$$L^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab} (L_{ai\,bj} \rho_a^i \rho_b^j + 2L_{ai\,b\hat{j}} \rho_a^i \dot{\rho}_b^j + L_{a\hat{i}\,b\hat{j}} \dot{\rho}_a^i \dot{\rho}_b^j), \tag{3.15}$$

with the coefficients $L_{ai\,bj} = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{L}}{\partial z_a^i \partial z_b^j}\Big|^{(0)}$ etc. The corresponding equations of motion,

$$\sum_b \left(L_{ai\,bj}\rho_b^j + (L_{ai\,b\hat{\jmath}} - L_{bj\,a\hat{\imath}})\dot{\rho}_b^j - L_{a\hat{\imath}\,b\hat{\jmath}}\ddot{\rho}_b^j\right) = 0,$$

have the following explicit form:

$$m_a(\Omega^2\rho_{ai} - \Omega_i\Omega_j\rho_a^j + 2\varepsilon_{ij}{}^k\Omega_k\dot{\rho}_a^j - \ddot{\rho}_{ai}) + (-)^{\bar{a}}(F_{ij}\rho^j + F_{[i\hat{\jmath}]}\dot{\rho}^j - F_{\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}}\ddot{\rho}^j) = 0,$$

where $F_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{F}}{\partial z^i \partial z^j}\Big|^{(0)}$ etc., $F_{[i\hat{\jmath}]} = F_{i\hat{\jmath}} - F_{j\hat{\imath}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 - \boldsymbol{\rho}_2$. Summing up these equations over $a = 1, 2$, first with the wight 1, and then with $(-)^{\bar{a}}\frac{m_{\bar{a}}}{m_1+m_2}$, splits these equations:

$$\Omega^2\varrho_i - \Omega_i\Omega_j\varrho^j + 2\varepsilon_{ij}{}^k\Omega_k\dot{\varrho}^j - \ddot{\varrho}_i = 0 \tag{3.16}$$

$$\left[\mu(\Omega^2\delta_{ij} - \Omega_i\Omega_j) + F_{ij}\right]\rho^j + [2\mu\varepsilon_{ij}{}^k\Omega_k + F_{[i\hat{\jmath}]}]\dot{\rho}^j - [\mu\delta_{ij} + F_{\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}}]\ddot{\rho}^j = 0, \tag{3.17}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varrho} = \sum_a \frac{m_a}{m_1+m_2}\boldsymbol{\rho}_a$ is a deviation of the center-of-mass position.

Let us consider the equation (3.16). Choosing orts as in the 1-particle case simplifies it to the set:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^2\varrho^1 + 2\Omega\dot{\varrho}^2 - \ddot{\varrho}^1 &= 0\\
\Omega^2\varrho^2 - 2\Omega\dot{\varrho}^1 - \ddot{\varrho}^2 &= 0\\
-\ddot{\varrho}^3 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to cut unbounded solutions off we search a solution in the form: $\varrho^i = \varepsilon^i \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega \mathrm{t}}$, and arrive at the secular equation: $\omega^2(\omega^2 - \Omega^2)^2 = 0$. We claim without details that eigenvectors belonging to the degenerate eigenvalue $\omega^2 = \Omega^2$ correspond to a rotation of the vector $\boldsymbol{\varrho}$ with the frequency Ω. In the fixed (motionless) reference frame this solution is the constant vector $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\perp\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. The $\omega = 0$ mode possesses constant eigenvector $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. All three modes can be compensated by the translation of the origin of coordinates, i.e., by redefinition of the center-of-mass reference frame. Thus these modes are kinematic, and one can put $\boldsymbol{\varrho} = 0$.

The set of equations (3.17) can be obtained from the set (2.19) or (2.20)-(2.22) by means of formal substitution $L \to \bar{L}$, where

$$\bar{L}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \tfrac{1}{2}\mu\gamma + F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma). \tag{3.18}$$

This set leads to one dynamical mode with frequency (2.25) (with the change $L \to \bar{L}$) and two kinematic modes in addition to three ones described just above. Particle eigenvectors

$\boldsymbol{e}_a$ of all the kinematic modes have the following components:

$$\omega_2 = 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_1 = \{0, R_1, 0\}, \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_2 = \{0, -R_2, 0\}; \tag{3.19}$$

$$\omega_3 = \pm\Omega, \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_1 = \{0, 0, R_1\}, \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_2 = \{0, 0, -R_2\}; \tag{3.20}$$

$$\omega_{4,5} = \pm\Omega, \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_1 = \{1, \mp\mathrm{i}\,, 0\}, \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_2 = \{1, \mp\mathrm{i}\,, 0\}; \tag{3.21}$$

$$\omega_6 = 0, \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_1 = \{0, 0, 1\}, \qquad \boldsymbol{e}_2 = \{0, 0, 1\}, \tag{3.22}$$

where $R_a \equiv |\boldsymbol{R}_a|$ and $\boldsymbol{R}_a$ $(a = 1, 2)$ are defined in (3.14).

After the kinematic modes are suppressed, the subsequent analysis is reduced to the single-particle case considered in Sec. II with the effective centre-of-mass Lagrangian (3.18), as it is in the standard treatment.

IV. TWO-PARTICLE FOKKER-TYPE DYNAMICS

In this section we extend the ACO approximation method to the formalism of action integrals of Fokker type. We start with a two-particle Fokker-type action of general form [38]:

$$\begin{aligned} I = \sum_{a=1}^{2} \int dt_a L_a\left(t_a, \boldsymbol{x}_a(t_a), \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a(t_a)\right) \\ + \iint dt_1 dt_2 \Phi\left(t_1, t_2, \boldsymbol{x}_1(t_1), \boldsymbol{x}_2(t_2), \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1(t_1), \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2(t_2)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

The variational problem leads to the integral-differential equations of motion:

$$\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}_a} - \frac{d}{dt_a}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a}\right\}(L_a + \Lambda_a) = 0, \qquad a = 1, 2, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\dfrac{d}{dt_a} \equiv \dfrac{\partial}{\partial t_a} + \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a \cdot \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_a} + \ddot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a \cdot \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a}$ and

$$\Lambda_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t_2\, \Phi, \qquad \Lambda_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t_1\, \Phi. \tag{4.3}$$

For a physical reason we are interested mainly in the case where the system is invariant under transformations of the Aristotle group [36] (see also [37]), i.e., time and space translations and inversions as well as space rotations. The Aristotle group is a common subgroup of the Galilei and Poincaré groups. Thus this case includes both non-relativistic and relativistic non-local systems into consideration.

IV.1. Symmetries and conserved quantities

Symmetry properties of the action (4.1) determines general structure of the functions $L_a(t_a, \boldsymbol{x}_a, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a)$ (farther referred to as the 1-Fokkerians) and $\Phi(t_1, t_2, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2)$ (referred to as the 2-Fokkerian) and leads to an existence of integrals of motion studied, for non-local (i.e., Fokker-type) systems, in [1, 38].

The invariance under *time translations*, $t \to t + \lambda_0$ ($\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$), results in the conditions:

$$X_0^T L_a \equiv \frac{\partial L_a}{\partial t_a} = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

$$X_0^T \Phi \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t_a} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \quad \Phi(t_1, t_2, ...) = \Phi(t_1 - t_2, ...) \equiv \Phi(\vartheta, ...) \tag{4.5}$$

and yields the *energy* integral of motion:

$$E = \sum_{a=1}^{2} \left\{ \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a} - 1 \right\} (L_a + \Lambda_a) + \not\!\!\iint \mathrm{d}t_1\, \mathrm{d}t_2\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \Phi \tag{4.6}$$

$$\text{where} \quad \not\!\!\iint \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} \int_{t_2}^{\infty} - \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{t_2}. \tag{4.7}$$

The invariance under *space translations*, $\boldsymbol{x} \to \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ($\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^3$), yields the conditions:

$$\boldsymbol{X}^T L_a \equiv \frac{\partial L_a}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_a} = 0; \tag{4.8}$$

$$\boldsymbol{X}^T \Phi \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_a} = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \quad \Phi(.., \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, ..) = \Phi(.., \boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_2, ..) \equiv \Phi(.., \boldsymbol{x}, ..) \tag{4.9}$$

and the conserved *total momentum*:

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \sum_{a=1}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a} (L_a + \Lambda_a) - \not\!\!\iint \mathrm{d}t_1\, \mathrm{d}t_2\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \Phi. \tag{4.10}$$

The *rotary* invariance,

$$L_a(\mathsf{R}\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a) = L_a(\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a), \tag{4.11}$$

$$\Phi(\vartheta, \mathsf{R}\boldsymbol{x}, \mathsf{R}\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1, \mathsf{R}\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2) = \Phi(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{x}, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2), \tag{4.12}$$

yields the infinitesimal conditions:

$$\boldsymbol{X}^R L_a \equiv \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a \times \frac{\partial L_a}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a} = 0, \tag{4.13}$$

$$\boldsymbol{X}^R \Phi \equiv \boldsymbol{x} \times \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + \sum_{a=1}^{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a \times \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a} = 0 \tag{4.14}$$

and results in a conservation of the *angular momentum* of the system:

$$\boldsymbol{J} = \sum_{a=1}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}_a \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a}\left(L_a + \Lambda_a\right) - \tfrac{1}{2}\iint \mathrm{d}t_1\, \mathrm{d}t_2 \left\{ (\boldsymbol{x}_1 + \boldsymbol{x}_2)\times \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1} - \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2} \right\} \Phi. \qquad (4.15)$$

The consequences of discrete symmetries with respect to space inversions and a time reversal will be considered farther.

IV.2. Fokker-type dynamics in a uniformly rotating reference frame

Using the non-inertial change of variables:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_a(t_a) = \mathsf{S}(t_a)\boldsymbol{z}_a(t_a) \equiv \mathsf{S}_a \boldsymbol{z}_a(t_a), \qquad \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a = \mathsf{S}_a \boldsymbol{u}_a, \qquad (4.16)$$

where $\mathsf{S}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}$ are defined in (2.5), (3.6), and symmetry properties (4.4)-(4.5), (4.8)-(4.9), (4.11)-(4.14) one can define "tilded" Fokkerians:

$$L_a(\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a) = L_a(\mathsf{S}_a \boldsymbol{u}_a) = L_a(\boldsymbol{u}_a) \equiv \tilde{L}_a(\boldsymbol{z}_a, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a; \boldsymbol{\Omega}), \qquad (4.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2) &= \Phi(\vartheta, \mathsf{S}_1\boldsymbol{z}_1 - \mathsf{S}_2\boldsymbol{z}_2, \mathsf{S}_1\boldsymbol{u}_1, \mathsf{S}_2\boldsymbol{u}_2) \\
&= \Phi(\vartheta, \mathsf{S}_2^{\mathrm{T}}\mathsf{S}_1\boldsymbol{z}_1 - \boldsymbol{z}_2, \mathsf{S}_2^{\mathrm{T}}\mathsf{S}_1\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2) \\
&= \Phi(\vartheta, \mathsf{S}(\vartheta)\boldsymbol{z}_1 - \boldsymbol{z}_2, \mathsf{S}(\vartheta)\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2) \\
&\equiv \tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2; \boldsymbol{\Omega}). \qquad (4.18)
\end{aligned}$$

It is obviously that "tilded" Fokkerians are invariant under time translation. Thus the corresponding integral of motion exists:

$$\tilde{E} = \sum_{a=1}^{2} \left\{ \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a} - 1 \right\} \left(\tilde{L}_a + \tilde{\Lambda}_a \right) + \iint \mathrm{d}t_1\, \mathrm{d}t_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta}\tilde{\Phi} \qquad (4.19)$$

where the relations of $\tilde{\Lambda}_a$ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ are similar to (4.3). The equality (2.9) holds in the present case too which fact can be examined directly with the use of eqs. (4.6), (4.15) and (4.16).

IV.3. Circular orbit solutions

Proposition. If Fokkerians are invariant with respect to the action of the Aristotle group, i.e., the equalities (4.4), (4.5), (4.8), (4.9), (4.13), (4.14) hold, the corresponding equations of motion (4.2) posses a circular orbit solution with characteristics described below.

Proof. Fokker-type equations of circular orbit motion (i.e., equations of a rest in terms of variables $\boldsymbol{z}_a$) have the form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}_a}\left(\tilde{L}_a + \tilde{\Lambda}_a\right)\Big|_{\substack{\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1=0\\ \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2=0}} = 0, \qquad a=1,2, \tag{4.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where}\quad \tilde{L}_a\Big|_{\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a=0} &= \tilde{L}_a(\boldsymbol{z}_a, 0; \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \equiv L_a^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{z}_a; \boldsymbol{\Omega}), \qquad\qquad a=1,2,\\ \tilde{\Lambda}_a\Big|_{\substack{\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1=0\\ \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2=0}} &= \int \mathrm{d}t_{\bar{a}}\, \tilde{\Phi}(t_1-t_2, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2, 0, 0; \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \qquad (\bar{a}=3-a)\\ &= \int \mathrm{d}\vartheta\, \tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2, 0, 0; \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \equiv \Lambda^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2; \boldsymbol{\Omega}), \end{aligned}$$

and the last function is common for both values of $a=1,2$. Thus, equations of a rest (4.20) can be presented in the effective Lagrangian form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}_a} L^{(0)} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}_a}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{2} L_a^{(0)} + \Lambda^{(0)}\right) = 0, \qquad a=1,2. \tag{4.21}$$

In order to take into account symmetric properties of Fokkerians it is convenient to represent their general functional form as follows:

$$L_a(\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a) = L_a(\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a^2) \equiv L_a(\gamma_a), \qquad\qquad a=1,2, \tag{4.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{x}, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2) &= \Phi(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{x}^2, \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1, \boldsymbol{x}\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1^2, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2^2, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2)\\ &\equiv \Phi(\vartheta, \alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta). \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Invariance with respect to time reversal causes the property

$$\Phi(-\vartheta, ..., -\beta_1, -\beta_2, ...) = \Phi(\vartheta, ..., \beta_1, \beta_2, ...);$$

the space parity is provided automatically.

In Appendix C scalar arguments $\alpha \dots \delta$ are expressed in terms of non-inertial variables $\boldsymbol{z}_a$ and their derivatives. Using (C.1)-(C.4) in (4.22)-(4.23) then yields "tilded" Fokkerians.

For a circular orbit problem it is sufficient to consider the static case $\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a = 0$. Then the expression (C.1) for α does not change while remaining scalars (C.2)-(C.4) simplify:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1^{(0)} &= \beta_2^{(0)} = \Omega\left[\boldsymbol{z}_1^{\perp}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}_2^{\perp}\sin(\Omega\vartheta) - (\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2)\cos(\Omega\vartheta)\right],\\ \gamma_a^{(0)} &= \boldsymbol{v}_a^2 = \Omega^2|\boldsymbol{z}_a^{\perp}|^2, \qquad \delta^{(0)} = \Omega^2\boldsymbol{z}_1^{\perp}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}_2^{\perp} \end{aligned}$$

Upon these equalities the 2-Fokkerian takes the following general structure:

$$\Phi^{(0)} = \Phi^{(0)}(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{z}^2, |\boldsymbol{z}_1^\perp|^2, |\boldsymbol{z}_2^\perp|^2, \boldsymbol{z}_1^\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_2^\perp, (\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2); \Omega). \tag{4.24}$$

Upon accounting the temporal reversability,

$$\Phi^{(0)}(-\vartheta, ...) = \Phi^{(0)}(\vartheta, ...), \tag{4.25}$$

the integrating this function over ϑ yields a general structure of $\Lambda^{(0)}$:

$$\Lambda^{(0)} = \int \mathrm{d}\vartheta\, \Phi^{(0)} = \Lambda^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{z}^2,\ |\boldsymbol{z}_1^\perp|^2,\ |\boldsymbol{z}_2^\perp|^2,\ \boldsymbol{z}_1^\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_2^\perp,\ (\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2)^2;\ \Omega).$$

Since $(\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2) = \pm|\boldsymbol{z}_1 \times \boldsymbol{z}_2|$, so $(\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2)^2 = |\boldsymbol{z}_1^\perp|^2 |\boldsymbol{z}_2^\perp|^2 - (\boldsymbol{z}_1^\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_2^\perp)^2$, the final structures of the 2-Fokkerian and then of the effective Lagrangian involved in eq. (4.21) is expressed via four scalar arguments:

$$\Lambda^{(0)} = \Lambda^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{z}^2,\ |\boldsymbol{z}_1^\perp|^2,\ |\boldsymbol{z}_2^\perp|^2,\ \boldsymbol{z}_1^\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_2^\perp;\ \Omega) \equiv \Lambda^{(0)}(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3; \Omega), \tag{4.26}$$

$$L^{(0)} = L^{(0)}(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3; \Omega) = \sum_{a=1}^{2} L_a^{(0)}(\sigma_a; \Omega) + \Lambda^{(0)}(\sigma_0 \ldots \sigma_3; \Omega). \tag{4.27}$$

This form is useful for a study of circular-orbit equations (4.21).

Using the notations $k_i \equiv \partial L^{(0)}/\partial \sigma_i$ $(i = 0 \ldots 3)$ brings (4.21) to the form:

$$2(-)^{\bar{a}} k_0 \boldsymbol{z} + 2k_a \boldsymbol{z}_a^\perp + k_3 \boldsymbol{z}_{\bar{a}}^\perp = 0, \qquad a = 1, 2. \tag{4.28}$$

Scalar product of these equations with $\boldsymbol{n}$ yields the condition:

$$k_0 \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{z} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{z} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{z} \perp \boldsymbol{n}$$

(we do not consider the solution $k_0 = 0$; roughly it corresponds to a static case in a conventional meaning).

Notice that $\boldsymbol{z}$ and thus σ_0 is translation-invariant; $\boldsymbol{z}_a^\perp$ and thus σ_a, σ_3 are invariant under translations along $\boldsymbol{n}$. Thus the solution of eq.(4.28) is specified up to arbitrary vector along $\boldsymbol{n}$. We fix it by means of the conditions:

$$\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}_a = 0, \quad a = 1, 2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{z}_a \perp \boldsymbol{n}, \quad \boldsymbol{z}_a = \boldsymbol{z}_a^\perp.$$

From now on both vectors $\boldsymbol{z}_a$ are placed on a common plane and the superscript "$\perp$" can be omitted.

The rest equations (4.28) take the form:

$$2(k_0+k_1)\boldsymbol{z}_1-(2k_0-k_3)\boldsymbol{z}_2=0, \tag{4.29}$$

$$-(2k_0-k_3)\boldsymbol{z}_1+2(k_0+k_2)\boldsymbol{z}_2=0; \tag{4.30}$$

they possess non-trivial solution provided:

$$4(k_0+k_1)(k_0+k_2)-(2k_0-k_3)^2=0. \tag{4.31}$$

Then $\boldsymbol{z}_1||\boldsymbol{z}_2$. Choosing orts of a moving reference frame as follows: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3=\boldsymbol{n}\upuparrows\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1\upuparrows\boldsymbol{z}_1$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2=\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_3\times\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1$, one can recast (4.29)-(4.30) into equalities:

$$\boldsymbol{z}_1=R_1\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1, \qquad \boldsymbol{z}_1=-R_2\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1, \tag{4.32}$$

$$\frac{R_2}{R_1}=\frac{k_3-2k_0}{2(k_0+k_1)}=\frac{2(k_0+k_2)}{k_3-2k_0}. \tag{4.33}$$

By (4.32) we presuppose $\boldsymbol{z}_2\updownarrows\boldsymbol{z}_1$ and thus $R_1>0$, $R_2>0$, as in the Galilei-invariant two-particle case. Otherwise $R_2<0$ but this case is rather nonphysical.

Relations (4.31) and (4.33) form the set of equations determining R_1 and R_2 as functions of Ω ■

IV.4. Integrals of motion along circular orbits

Static character of circular orbit solutions implies that Fokkerians $L_a^{(0)}$ and $\Lambda^{(0)}$ depend on the constant vectors (4.32). Besides, $\Phi^{(0)}$ is a function of $\vartheta=t_1-t_2$. Thus integrals of motion can be evaluated explicitly. At that it is useful the following "skew" integration (4.7) ansatz valid for arbitrary function $f(\vartheta)$:

$$\not\!\!\iint \mathrm{d}t_1\,\mathrm{d}t_2\,f(\vartheta)\equiv\left[\int_{-\infty}^{t_1}\int_{t_2}^{\infty}-\int_{t_1}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{t_2}\right]\mathrm{d}t_1'\,\mathrm{d}t_2'\,f(\vartheta')=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\vartheta'\,(\vartheta-\vartheta')f(\vartheta').$$

Taking into account the time reversal (4.25) of $\Phi^{(0)}$ yields easily an evaluation of the integral $\tilde{E}^{(0)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{E}^{(0)}&=-\sum_{a=1}^{2}\left(L_a^{(0)}+\Lambda^{(0)}\right)-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\vartheta\;\vartheta\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta}\Phi^{(0)}\\&=-\sum_{a=1}^{2}L_a^{(0)}-2\Lambda^{(0)}+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\vartheta\,\Phi^{(0)}=-\sum_{a=1}^{2}L_a^{(0)}-\Lambda^{(0)}=-L^{(0)}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

An evaluation of the angular momentum is cumbersome: $\boldsymbol{J}^{(0)} = \boldsymbol{n}J^{(0)}$ where

$$J^{(0)} = 2\Omega \sum_{a=1}^{2} R_a^2 \left(L_a + \Lambda_a\right)_{\gamma_a}^{(0)} - R_1 R_2 \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\vartheta \, \{[(2\Phi_\alpha - \Omega^2\Phi_\delta)\vartheta + \Phi_{\beta_1} + \Phi_{\beta_2}]\sin(\Omega\vartheta)$$

$$+ [2\Phi_\delta + (\Phi_{\beta_1} + \Phi_{\beta_2})\vartheta]\Omega\cos(\Omega\vartheta)\}^{(0)}; \qquad (4.35)$$

the subscripts $\alpha, ..., \delta$ denote derivatives, $\Phi_\alpha = \partial\Phi/\partial\alpha$ etc.

It follows from (4.34) and (4.35) the following relation:

$$J^{(0)} = -\partial\tilde{E}^{(0)}/\partial\Omega = \partial L^{(0)}/\partial\Omega.$$

Besides, the relation (2.9) gives the possibility to evaluate the energy:

$$E^{(0)} = \tilde{E}^{(0)} + \Omega J^{(0)} = -L^{(0)} + \Omega\partial L^{(0)}/\partial\Omega. \qquad (4.36)$$

The linear momentum integral vanishes: $\boldsymbol{P}^{(0)} = 0$.

IV.5. Equations of motion in oscillator approximation

Small perturbations $\rho_a(t_a)$ to circular orbits are introduced naturally:

$$\boldsymbol{z}_a(t_a) = (-)^{\bar{a}}\boldsymbol{R}_a + \boldsymbol{\rho}_a(t_a), \qquad \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a(t_a) = \dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_a(t_a), \qquad a = 1, 2$$

and then substituted into the action (4.1). Expanding the Fokkerians up to quadratic terms in $\rho_a(t_a)$, $\dot\rho_a(t_a)$ yields:

$$I = \sum_a \int \mathrm{d}t_a \, L_a^{(0)} + \iint \mathrm{d}t_1 \, \mathrm{d}t_2 \, \Phi^{(0)}$$

$$+ \sum_a \int \mathrm{d}t_a \left\{ \underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial\tilde{L}_a}{\partial\boldsymbol{z}_a} + \int \mathrm{d}t_{\bar{a}} \, \frac{\partial\tilde{\Phi}}{\partial\boldsymbol{z}_a}\right]^{(0)}}_{\overset{\|}{0}} \cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}_a + \underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial\tilde{L}_a}{\partial\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a} + \int \mathrm{d}t_{\bar{a}} \, \frac{\partial\tilde{\Phi}}{\partial\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a}\right]^{(0)} \cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_a}_{\text{total derivative}} \right\}$$

$$I^{(2)} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_a \int \mathrm{d}t_a \, \left(L_{aij}\rho_a^i\rho_a^j + 2L_{ai\hat{j}}\rho_a^i\dot\rho_a^j + L_{a\hat{i}\hat{j}}\dot\rho_a^i\dot\rho_a^j\right) \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_a\sum_b \iint \mathrm{d}t_1 \, \mathrm{d}t_2 \left(\Phi_{ai\,bj}\rho_a^i\rho_b^j + 2\Phi_{ai\,b\hat{j}}\rho_a^i\dot\rho_b^j + \Phi_{a\hat{i}\,b\hat{j}}\dot\rho_a^i\dot\rho_b^j\right) \end{aligned} \right. \qquad (4.37)$$

with the coefficients $L_{aij} = \left.\frac{\partial^2\tilde{L}_a}{\partial z_a^i \partial z_b^j}\right|^{(0)}$, $\Phi_{ai\,b\hat{j}} = \left.\frac{\partial^2\tilde{\Phi}}{\partial z_a^i \partial \dot{z}_b^j}\right|^{(0)}$ etc.

The equations of motion have the form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{aij}\rho^j_a(t) + \mathcal{L}_{a[i\hat{\jmath}]}\dot{\rho}^j_a(t) - \mathcal{L}_{a\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}}\ddot{\rho}^j_a(t) + \int \mathrm{d}t'\, \Xi_{aij}(t-t')\rho^j_{\bar{a}}(t') = 0, \tag{4.38}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{a[i\hat{\jmath}]} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{ai\hat{\jmath}} - \mathcal{L}_{aj\hat{\imath}}$ $(a = 1, 2,\ \bar{a} = 3 - a)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{aij} = L_{aij} + \Lambda_{aij}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{ai\hat{\jmath}} = \dots, \tag{4.39}$$

$$\Xi_{aij}(\vartheta) = \Phi_{ai\,\bar{a}j}(\vartheta) + (-)^{\bar{a}}\dot{\Phi}_{[ai\,\bar{a}\hat{\jmath}]}(\vartheta) - \ddot{\Phi}_{a\hat{\imath}\,\bar{a}\hat{\jmath}}(\vartheta) \tag{4.40}$$

and $\dot{\Phi}(\vartheta) \equiv \partial\Phi/\partial\vartheta$. Due to the time reversability of the dynamics, the kernel possesses the properties:

$$\Xi_{aij}(\vartheta) = \Xi_{aji}(-\vartheta) = \Xi_{\bar{a}ji}(\vartheta) = \Xi_{\bar{a}ij}(-\vartheta).$$

Putting $\rho^i_a(t) = e^i_a \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega t}$ leads to the set of equations:

$$\sum_{b=1}^{2} D_{ai\,bj}(\omega)e^j_b = 0 \tag{4.41}$$

with the 6×6 dynamical matrix

$$\mathsf{D}(\omega) = \left\| \begin{matrix} \mathcal{L}_{1ij} - \mathrm{i}\,\omega\mathcal{L}_{1[i\hat{\jmath}]} + \omega^2\mathcal{L}_{1\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}} & \check{\Xi}_{1ij}(\omega) \\ \check{\Xi}_{2ij}(-\omega) & \mathcal{L}_{2ij} - \mathrm{i}\,\omega\mathcal{L}_{2[i\hat{\jmath}]} + \omega^2\mathcal{L}_{2\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}} \end{matrix} \right\|, \tag{4.42}$$

where the off-diagonal entries $\check{\Xi}_{aji}(\omega) \equiv \int \mathrm{d}\vartheta\, \Xi_{aji}(\vartheta)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega\vartheta}$ possess the properties:

$$\check{\Xi}_{aji}(\omega) = \check{\Xi}^*_{aij}(\omega), \qquad \check{\Xi}_{2ij}(\omega) = \check{\Xi}_{1ij}(-\omega). \tag{4.43}$$

The equation (4.41) determines characteristic modes of the system. In particular, eigenfrequencies are derived from the secular equation

$$\det \mathsf{D}(\omega) = 0.$$

Subsequent description of the system depends considerably on properties of the dynamical matrix (4.42).

First of all we note that the equations of motion (4.38) are not ordinary 2nd-order differential set but they form an integral-differential set which complicates to a great extent the analysis of the dynamics. In particular, the Cauchy problem is not appropriate and the Hamiltonization is not straightforward. On the other hand, the set is linear which, in turns, simplifies somewhat the analysis. The Hamiltonization scheme of a general linear system defined by a non-local action integral is discussed in Appendix D.

IV.6. Symmetry properties of the dynamical matrix

Similarly to the cases of ordinary single- and two-particle systems we should separate the dynamical and kinematic modes of the dynamical matrix. This can be done by taking the Aristotle-invariance of the system into account.

Proposition. The dynamical matrix (4.42) built with the Aristotle-invariant Fokkerians admits the eigenfrequencies and eigenvectors (3.19)-(3.22), where R_a $(a = 1, 2)$ are determined by the equations (4.31), (4.33).

Proof. Multiplying l.-h.s. of equalities (4.8), (4.9), (4.13) and (4.14) by $\mathsf{S}_a^{-1} \equiv \mathsf{S}_a^{\mathrm{T}} \equiv \mathsf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}(t_a)$ and expressing them in terms of noninertial variables yields the equalities:

$$\tilde{X}^T_{ai}\tilde{L}_a = \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a^i} + \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\Omega^k\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}_a^l}\right\}\tilde{L}_a = 0, \tag{4.44}$$

$$\tilde{X}^R_{ai}\tilde{L}_a = \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\left\{z_a^k\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a^l} + \dot{z}_a^k\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}_a^l} + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}_a^n}\right\}\tilde{L}_a = 0, \tag{4.45}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X}^T_{ai}\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta) &= \left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a^i} + \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\Omega^k\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}_a^l}\right\}\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta)\\ &\quad + [S^{(-1)^a}(\vartheta)]_i{}^j\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\bar{a}}^j} + \varepsilon_{jk}{}^{l}\Omega^k\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}_{\bar{a}}^l}\right\}\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta) = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X}^R_{ai}\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta) &= \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\left\{z_a^k\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a^l} + \dot{z}_a^k\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}_a^l} + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}_a^n}\right\}\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta) + [S^{(-1)^a}(\vartheta)]_i{}^j \times\\ &\quad \times\varepsilon_{jk}{}^{l}\left\{z_{\bar{a}}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\bar{a}}^l} + \dot{z}_{\bar{a}}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}_{\bar{a}}^l} + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}_{\bar{a}}^n}\right\}\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta) = 0;\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

here arguments $\boldsymbol{z}_a$, $\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a$ of $\tilde{L}_a$ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ are omitted for brevity.

Symmetry conditions (4.44)-(4.45) for 1-Fokkerians result in useful consequences:

$$\left[\tilde{X}^T_{ai}\tilde{L}_a\right]^{(0)} = 0, \qquad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a^j}\tilde{X}^T_{ai}\tilde{L}_a\right]^{(0)} = 0, \qquad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}_a^j}\tilde{X}^T_{ai}\tilde{L}_a\right]^{(0)} = 0,$$

$$\left[\tilde{X}^R_{ai}\tilde{L}_a\right]^{(0)} = 0, \qquad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a^j}\tilde{X}^R_{ai}\tilde{L}_a\right]^{(0)} = 0, \qquad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}_a^j}\tilde{X}^R_{ai}\tilde{L}_a\right]^{(0)} = 0,$$

where the superscript "(0)" means "on circular orbit solution", i.e., taking the conditions $\boldsymbol{z}_1 = \boldsymbol{R}_1$, $\boldsymbol{z}_2 = -\boldsymbol{R}_2$, $\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a = 0$ into account. These equalities impose constraints (E.1)-(E.6) for the quantities L_{ai}, L_{aij} etc. shown in Appendix E.

For the 2-Fokkerian we are interested in the following consequences of (4.46), (4.47):

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\vartheta\ \left[\tilde{X}^T_{ai}\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta)\right]^{(0)} = 0,\ \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\vartheta\ \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j_a}\tilde{X}^T_{ai}\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta)\right]^{(0)} = 0,\ \ldots,$$
$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\vartheta\ \left[\tilde{X}^R_{ai}\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta)\right]^{(0)} = 0,\ \ldots,\ \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\vartheta\ \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}^j_a}\tilde{X}^R_{ai}\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta)\right]^{(0)} = 0,$$

which impose constraints for the quantities $\Lambda_{ai} = \check{\Phi}_{ai}(0)$, $\Lambda_{aij} = \check{\Phi}_{aij}(0)$, $\ldots$ and $\check{\Phi}_{ai\bar{a}j}(\pm\Omega)$ etc. Using the explicit form for the matrix $\mathsf{S}(\vartheta)$:

$$S_i{}^j(\vartheta) = \cos(\Omega\vartheta)\delta_i^j + \{1-\cos(\Omega\vartheta)\}n_i n^j - \sin(\Omega\vartheta)n^k\varepsilon_{ki}{}^j,$$

and taking into account the equality $\mathsf{S}^{-1}(\vartheta) = \mathsf{S}^{\mathrm{T}}(\vartheta) = \mathsf{S}(-\vartheta)$ and the fact that the Fourier-transform $\check{\Phi}(\omega)$ of $\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta)$ and its derivatives $\check{\Phi}_{ai}(\omega)$ etc. are pair-vise functions of ω, one can arrive at the equalities (E.7)-(E.12) in Appendix E. They lead together with the equations (E.1)-(E.6) and the equations of a rest $\mathcal{L}_{ai} = 0$ to the following linear relations for elements of the dynamical matrix $\mathsf{D}(0)$ and $\mathsf{D}(\Omega)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{ai3} + \Lambda_{ai\,\bar{a}3} = 0, \tag{4.48}$$

$$R_a\mathcal{L}_{ai2} - R_{\bar{a}}\Lambda_{ai\,\bar{a}2} = 0, \tag{4.49}$$

$$R_a(\mathcal{L}_{ai3} + \Omega^2\mathcal{L}_{a\hat{\imath}\hat{3}}) - R_{\bar{a}}(\check{\Phi}_{ai\,\bar{a}3}(\Omega) + \Omega^2\check{\Phi}_{a\hat{\imath}\,\bar{a}\hat{3}}(\Omega)) = 0, \tag{4.50}$$

$$R_a\mathcal{L}_{a[i\hat{3}]} - R_{\bar{a}}\check{\Phi}_{[ai\,\bar{a}\hat{3}]}(\Omega) = 0, \qquad a = 1,2, \quad i = 1,2,3, \tag{4.51}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{L}_{ai\mathrm{j}} - \Omega\varepsilon_{3\mathrm{j}}{}^k\mathcal{L}_{a[i\hat{k}]} + \Omega^2\mathcal{L}_{a\hat{\imath}\hat{\mathrm{j}}} + \check{\Phi}_{ai\,\bar{a}\mathrm{j}}(\Omega)\\ &\qquad - \Omega\varepsilon_{3\mathrm{j}}{}^k\check{\Phi}_{[ai\,\bar{a}\hat{k}]}(\Omega) + \Omega^2\check{\Phi}_{a\hat{\imath}\,\bar{a}\hat{\mathrm{j}}}(\Omega) = 0, \ \ \mathrm{j} = 1,2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

The relations (4.48) provide the existence of the eigenfrequency and the eigenvector (3.22), the relations (4.49) – of (3.19), the relations (4.50)-(4.51) – of (3.20), and the relations (4.52) – of (3.21) ■

Thanks to this proposition, the kinematic modes separate from physical modes, eigenfrequencies of which can be found from the equation:

$$\frac{\det\mathsf{D}(\omega)}{\omega^4(\omega^2-\Omega^2)^3} = 0. \tag{4.53}$$

Since $\mathsf{D}(\omega)$ is a 6×6 matrix, entries of which are not, in general, polynomial, the secular equation (4.53) is rather complicated. It can be simplified somewhat due to the following

Proposition. The following identities hold:

$$D_{a\mathrm{j}\,b3}(\omega) = D_{a3\,b\mathrm{j}}(\omega) = 0 \quad \text{for any } a, b = 1, 2 \text{ and } \mathrm{j} = 1, 2.$$

Proof can be completed directly using the representation (4.22), (4.23) of 1- and 2-Fokkerians and examining the following equalities:

$$\left.\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial z_a^3}\right|^{(0)} = \cdots = \left.\frac{\partial\delta}{\partial z_a^3}\right|^{(0)} = 0, \qquad \left.\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial \dot z_a^3}\right|^{(0)} = \cdots = \left.\frac{\partial\delta}{\partial \dot z_a^3}\right|^{(0)} = 0,$$

$$\left.\frac{\partial^2\alpha}{\partial z_a^{\mathrm{j}}\partial z_b^3}\right|^{(0)} = \cdots = 0, \qquad \cdots = \left.\frac{\partial^2\delta}{\partial \dot z_a^{\mathrm{j}}\partial \dot z_b^3}\right|^{(0)} = 0, \qquad \mathrm{j} = 1, 2,$$

where $\alpha, \ldots \delta$ are defined by (C.1)-(C.4) in Appendix C, and the superscript "(0)" denotes the value of a marked quantity on the circular orbit solution ■

Thanks to this proposition, $\det \mathsf{D}(\omega)$ splits into two factors:

$$\det \mathsf{D}(\omega) = \det \mathsf{D}^{\perp}(\omega) \cdot \det \mathsf{D}^{\|}(\omega),$$

$$\text{where} \quad \mathsf{D}^{\perp}(\omega) = \|D_{a\mathrm{i}\,b\mathrm{j}}(\omega)\| \quad (\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j} = 1, 2), \quad \mathsf{D}^{\|}(\omega) = \|D_{a3\,b3}(\omega)\|.$$

The 2×2 submatrix $\mathsf{D}^{\|}(\omega)$ possesses two kinematic modes (3.20) and (3.22) while the 4×4 submatrix $\mathsf{D}^{\perp}(\omega)$ – another three kinematic modes (3.19), (3.21) and one the dynamical mode. The frequency of the latter can be determined fr0m the reduced secular equation:

$$\frac{\det \mathsf{D}^{\perp}(\omega)}{\omega^2(\omega^2 - \Omega^2)^2} = 0. \tag{4.54}$$

The secular equations (4.53) or (4.54) simplify in the case of equal particles.

IV.7. The dynamics of the equal particle system

The equal particles system is defined by Fokkerians of the following properties:

$$L_a(\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a) = L(\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a), \tag{4.55}$$

$$\Phi(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2) = \Phi(-\vartheta, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2, \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1). \tag{4.56}$$

Proposition. Along with a plausible assumption the equations of motion (4.20) for equal particles possess a circular motion solution of the form:

$$\boldsymbol{z}_1 = \boldsymbol{R}, \qquad \boldsymbol{z}_2 = -\boldsymbol{R} \qquad (\text{and} \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1 = \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2 = 0) \tag{4.57}$$

with characteristics described below.

Proof. It follows from (4.57) and (4.29), (4.30) the equality: $k_1 = k_2$ which turns into identity provided (4.57) holds. Then r.h.s of the equation (4.31) for $|\boldsymbol{R}| = R(\Omega)$ factorizes:

$$(4k_0 + 2k_1 - k_3) = 0 \quad \text{or/and} \quad (2k_1 + k_3) = 0. \tag{4.58}$$

Although solutions of both the equations (4.58) must be examined, the only first equation seems to make a physical sense since it includes the derivative $k_0 = \partial L^{(0)}/\partial \boldsymbol{z}^2$ which is intuitively related to a fource of interparticle interaction ■

Proposition. If the equal particle system is invariant under the space inversions the entries of the dynamical matrix satisfy the equalities:

$$D_{2i\,2j}(\omega) = D_{1i\,1j}(\omega), \qquad D_{2i\,1j}(\omega) = D_{1i\,2j}(\omega) \tag{4.59}$$

Proof. If 1-Fokkerian is invariant under space inversions,

$$\tilde{L}(-\boldsymbol{z}, -\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}) = \tilde{L}(\boldsymbol{z}, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}),$$

then its second-order derivatives are invariant too. Thus we have

$$L_{2ij} = \tfrac{\partial^2 \tilde{L}}{\partial z^i \partial z^j}(-\boldsymbol{R}, 0) = \tfrac{\partial^2 \tilde{L}}{\partial z^i \partial z^j}(\boldsymbol{R}, 0) = L_{1ij} \tag{4.60}$$

and, similarly,

$$L_{2i\hat{\jmath}} = L_{1i\hat{\jmath}}, \qquad L_{2\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}} = L_{1\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}}. \tag{4.61}$$

The 2-Fokkerian for equal particles can be presented in the form

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2) = \tfrac{1}{2}F(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \boldsymbol{z}_2, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2) + \tfrac{1}{2}F(-\vartheta, \boldsymbol{z}_2, \boldsymbol{z}_1, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2, \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1);$$

here $F(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$ is some function (for example, the $\Phi(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$ itself) which is inversion-invariant,

$$F(\vartheta, -\boldsymbol{x}, -\boldsymbol{y}, -\boldsymbol{u}, -\boldsymbol{v}) = F(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}),$$

and thus its second-order derivatives are so. We have the equality

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{2i\,2j}(\vartheta) &= \tfrac{\partial^2 F}{2\partial y^i \partial y^j}(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{R}, -\boldsymbol{R}, 0, 0) + \tfrac{\partial^2 F}{2\partial x^i \partial x^j}(-\vartheta, -\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, 0, 0) \\ &= \tfrac{\partial^2 F}{2\partial y^i \partial y^j}(\vartheta, -\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, 0, 0) + \tfrac{\partial^2 F}{2\partial x^i \partial x^j}(-\vartheta, \boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{R}, 0, 0) = \Phi_{1i\,1j}(-\vartheta)\end{aligned}$$

and similar equalities for other derivatives and their Fourier-transforms:

$$\check{\Phi}_{2i\,2j}(\omega) = \check{\Phi}_{1i\,1j}(-\omega), \dots, \check{\Phi}_{2\hat{\imath}\,1\hat{\jmath}}(\omega) = \check{\Phi}_{1\hat{\imath}\,2\hat{\jmath}}(-\omega). \tag{4.62}$$

Then using the definitions (4.39), (4.40), (4.42) and the properties (4.43), (4.60), (4.61) and (4.62) results in the equalities (4.59) ■

Thanks to equalities (4.59) the set of equations (4.41) splits into to subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{ij}(\omega)\varepsilon^j = 0 \text{ with } \mathfrak{D}_{ij}(\omega) &\equiv \mathcal{L}_{1ij} - \mathrm{i}\,\omega\mathcal{L}_{1[i\hat{\jmath}]} + \omega^2\mathcal{L}_{1\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}} + \check{\Xi}_{1ij}(\omega) \\ &= D_{1i\,1j}(\omega) + D_{1i\,2j}(\omega),\ \varepsilon^j \equiv \tfrac{1}{2}(e_1^j + e_2^j); \end{aligned} \tag{4.63}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{ij}(\omega)e^j = 0, \text{ with } \mathcal{D}_{ij}(\omega) &\equiv \mathcal{L}_{1ij} - \mathrm{i}\,\omega\mathcal{L}_{1[i\hat{\jmath}]} + \omega^2\mathcal{L}_{1\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}} - \check{\Xi}_{1ij}(\omega) \\ &= D_{1i\,1j}(\omega) - D_{1i\,2j}(\omega), \quad e^j \equiv e_1^j - e_2^j. \end{aligned} \tag{4.64}$$

It is easy to verify that the subset (4.63) possesses three kinematic eigenfrequencies and eigenvectors (3.21), (3.22) while the subset (4.64) – another two kinematic modes (3.19), (3.20) and one the dynamical mode. The frequency of the latter can be determined from the reduced secular equation:

$$\frac{\det \mathcal{D}^{\perp}(\omega)}{\omega^2} = 0 \quad \text{where } \mathcal{D}^{\perp}(\omega) \equiv \|\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{ij}}(\omega)\| \quad (\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j} = 1, 2)$$

is the reduced dynamical matrix. In the next subsection we discuss possible solutions of this equation as well as of more general equations (4.53) or (4.54).

IV.8. Predictive treatment of the Fokker-type system.

Let us call a particle system as *predictive* if it possesses three degrees of freedom per particle. For example it is the Galilei-invariant Lagrangian two-particle system considered in Sec. III. Consequently, in ACO approximation the corresponding dynamical matrix admits 6 modes with real frequencies, 5 of which have a kinematic origin (they are 0 or $\pm\Omega$), and only one mode characterizes a specific dynamics (it corresponds to radial interparticle oscillations).

The same kinematic modes arise in Aristotle-invariant Fokker-type 2-particle system and thus, in a Lagrangian system (as a particular case when 2-Fokkerian includes $\delta(\vartheta)$) as well as in the Poincaré-invariant Fokker-type system (as a particular case with extra Lorentz-invariance).

In contrast to predictive systems, the Fokker-type dynamical system may possess an infinite number of degrees of freedom, due to a time nonlocality of equations of motion. In ACO approximation the quadratic term $I^{(2)}$ of the action (4.37) (i.e., 3rd and 4th strings) is of the Fokker-type too. Consequently, the dynamical matrix of such systems is not polynomial and may possess an infinitely large number of modes with real or/and complex frequencies. It has been shown in Subsec. IV.6 and IV.7 how kinematic modes can be separated out. The question arises: how one could understand the case when a number of remaining modes is more then 1 ?

One point of view is that extra degrees of freedom are inherent to Fokker-type system considered literally as a physical model. If complex frequencies are present, the system is unstable and thus it cannot form bound states, at least in the ACO approximation. It has been pointed out in Appendix D that some modes with real frequencies may contribute negatively in the energy what is another kind of instability [39]. In these cases the model should be adjudged as physically inconsistent.

One can adhere another point of view. We considered two-particle Fokker-type action integral where 1-Fokkerians correspond to a free-particle system while 2-Fokkerian describes particle interaction. A system of two free particles possesses 6 degrees of freedom. The same is true for the interacting system describing within the predictive Lagrangian dynamics. If one endows a particle interpretation of the Fokker-type system, the latter should possess 6 physical degrees of freedom. Thus extra degrees of freedom should be considered as a mathematical artifact of the Fokker formalism or specific model, and finally should be separated out the physical dynamics of the system. Similar situation arises when considering the Lorentz-Dirac equation [40]. It possesses an extra solution describing exponentially accelerating particle even if external forces vanish. This solution is commonly discarded as nonphysical.

The question is how to separate physical degrees of freedom out of nonphysical ones ? In our case, how to recognize the only dynamical mode of radial excitations ? A kind of selection rule can be suggested if 1) there exist some parameter of nonlocality τ such that:

$$\Phi_\tau(\vartheta, \boldsymbol{x}_1, ..., \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2) \underset{\tau\to 0}{\longrightarrow} \delta(\vartheta)\Lambda(\boldsymbol{x}_1, ..., \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2),$$

i.e., in the limit $\tau \to 0$ the system turns into a predictive Lagrangian system, and 2) if this predictive system admits circular orbit solution. As a consequence, all modes of the dynamical matrix reduce to 5 kinematic and 1 dynamical ones while every extra mode disappears. It is possible, for example, if corresponding frequency $|\omega| \underset{\tau\to 0}{\longrightarrow} 0$ (then this mode does not contribute in the Hamiltonian; see (2.37), (D.18) and (D.22)) or $|\omega| \underset{\tau\to 0}{\longrightarrow} \infty$ (then it never can be excited).

If there is no an explicit parameter of nonlocality, it sometimes can be defined dynamically, as a function of the angular velocity Ω or of the angular momentum J. This is possible because Ω is a parameter with respect to the action $I^{(2)}$ in (4.37) as well as the angular momentum J or the quantum number ℓ are parameters as to the classical or quantum hamiltonian $H^{(2)}$ (2.37). For example, in the Fokker action formulation of electrodynamics [30] one can put $\tau \sim v = R\Omega$, where v is a particle speed along a circular orbit of the radius R. In the domain $v \ll 1$ there exists only one mode with the frequency which can be identified as $\omega_r(J)$. By continuity this mode can be recognized and selected in the essentially relativistic domain $v \lesssim 1$, while other (extra) modes should be discarded as nonphysical.

Similarly, one can treat other relativistic systems.

After kinematic modes are suppressed and nonphysical modes are discarded the subsequent treatment of the two-particle Fokker-type system reduces to the effective single-particle case with the effective Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{eff}}(J, |A_r|) = H^{(0)}(J) + H^{(2)}(J, |A_r|) = H^{(0)}(J) + \omega_r(J)|A_r|^2, \tag{4.65}$$

where $J = |\boldsymbol{J}| = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{J}^2}$ and $|A_r| = \sqrt{A_r^* A_r}$.

At this point one should refer to a symmetry of the original Fokker-type system which is Galilei-invariant in a non-relativistic case or Poincaré-invariant in a relativistic case. In both cases the effective Hamiltonian is understood as the energy of the system in the center-of-mass (CM) reference frame. It is a function of $\boldsymbol{J}$ which is meant as the intrinsic angular momentum of the system, and A_r which is the amplitude of interparticle radial oscillations. In order to have a complete Hamiltonian description of the system one must introduce variables (or operators) characterizing the state of the system as a whole, for example, the total momentum $\boldsymbol{P}$ and the canonically conjugated CM position $\boldsymbol{Q}$. Together with $\boldsymbol{J}$, A_r and the function (4.65) these variable unambiguously determine a canonical realization of a symmetry (Galileo or Poincaré) group, i.e., the complete Hamiltonian description of the system.

For example, the total energy of a non-relativistic system is

$$H = \tfrac{1}{2}(m_1{+}m_2)^{-1}\boldsymbol{P}^2 + H_{\text{eff}}(J, |A_r|). \tag{4.66}$$

In the relativistic case:

$$H = \sqrt{M^2 + \boldsymbol{P}^2}, \qquad \text{where} \quad M = H_{\text{eff}}(J, |A_r|), \tag{4.67}$$

i.e., the effective Hamiltonian coincides with the total mass M of the system, while the total Hamiltonian (4.67) and other generators of the Poincaré group are determined in terms of M, $\boldsymbol{J}$, $\boldsymbol{P}$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}$ via the Bakamjian-Thomas (BT) or equivalent model [41, 42]. The quantization of BT model is well elaborated [43, 44]. But the spectrum of the mass operator can be obtained from (4.65) by means of the substitution (2.38).

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we proposed a quantization scheme for a two-particle Fokker-type system on ACO approximation. For generality it is considered a system which is invariant under the Aristotle group, the common subgroup of the Galileo and the Poincaré groups. In such a way both non-relativistic as well as relativistic systems are included into consideration. And only at a very final stage the scheme refers to a genuine symmetry of the system.

It has been proven that the Aristotle-invariant two-particle system admits a planar circular motion of particles with arbitrary (in principle) angular velocity $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ provided some rather general conditions hold. This is done by the usage of a non-inertial uniformly rotating reference frame in which circular orbits are search as static (equilibrium) solutions of equations of motion. Radii R_a of particle orbits are stated as certain implicit functions of $\Omega = |\boldsymbol{\Omega}|$. Then small perturbations of particle motion around equilibrium point are considered. They correspond to almost circular particle orbits [30] as referred by the inertial observer.

The action principle of Fokker type for perturbed motion is derived. It leads to a set of linear homogeneous integral-differential equation. General properties of this set has been studied.

It is shown by means of a group-theoretical analysis that a possibly wide variety of characteristic modes of this set includes a number of modes which are unessential and must be suppressed in order to avoid a double count of degrees of freedom. Also, there is a one mode corresponding to radial inter-particle oscillations. Our attitude is that, namely, this mode is physically meaningful. All other characteristic modes (if exist) are unstable or physically unacceptable and must be suppressed. The corresponding selection rule is suggested. The reduced dynamical system is equivalent to some effective single-body problem. It is put by means of the Llosa procedure [25] into the Hamiltonian formulation which then is expanded to a two-body problem in accordance to a symmetry of the origrnal Fokker-type system. For example, if the original Fokker-type system is Poincaré-invariant, the final Hamiltonian description is formulated within the Bakamjian-Thomas (BT) or equivalent model [41, 42] on the 12-dimensional phase space $\mathbb{P}$. In other words, as a dynamical system, this BT model is a predictive subsystem of the original Fokker-type system. A subsequent quantization is straightforward.

The presented scheme for quantization of Fokker-type models may appear useful in the nuclear and hadronic physics. The Fokker-type model of Regge trajectories will be presented in a forthcoming paper [46].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to V. Tretyak and Yu. Yaremko for helpful discussion of this work.

APPENDIX A. ROTARY INVARIANCE PROPERTIES OF A SINGLE PARTICLE LAGRANGIAN

We use the rotary invariance property (2.1) of the Lagrangian (2.2) in the infinitesimal form:

$$X_i^R L = \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\left(x^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} + \dot{x}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}^l}\right) L = 0. \tag{A.1}$$

Applying the infinitesimal operators $\tilde{X}_i^R \equiv S^j{}_i X_j^R$ to the Lagrangian (2.7) we express the identities (A.1) in terms of the variables $\boldsymbol{z}$, $\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}$:

$$\tilde{X}_i^R \tilde{L} = \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\left(z^k \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial z^l} + \dot{z}^k \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \dot{z}^l} + \Omega^k \varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n} z^m \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \dot{z}^n}\right) = 0. \tag{A.2}$$

Then the equation (2.10), the identities (A.2) and their differential consequences

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}\tilde{X}_i^R \tilde{L} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{z}^j}\tilde{X}_i^R \tilde{L} = 0,$$

taken on circular orbit, result in the homogeneous linear set of equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&L_i = 0, \qquad {\varepsilon_{ik}}^{l}\Omega^k {\varepsilon_{lm}}^{n} z^m L_{\hat{n}} = z_i \Omega^n L_{\hat{n}} = 0,\\
&{\varepsilon_{ik}}^{l}\left(z^k L_{lj} + \Omega^k({\varepsilon_{lj}}^{n} L_{\hat{n}} + {\varepsilon_{lm}}^{n} z^m L_{\hat{n}j})\right) = \delta_{ij}\Omega^n L_{\hat{n}} - L_{\hat{\imath}}\Omega^j + {\varepsilon_{ik}}^{l} z^k L_{lj} - z_i\Omega^n L_{\hat{n}j} = 0,\\
&{\varepsilon_{ij}}^{l} L_{\hat{l}} + {\varepsilon_{ik}}^{l}\left(z_k L_{l\hat{\jmath}} + \Omega^k {\varepsilon_{lm}}^{n} z^m L_{\hat{n}\hat{\jmath}}\right) = {\varepsilon_{ij}}^{l} L_{\hat{l}} + {\varepsilon_{ik}}^{l} z_k L_{l\hat{\jmath}} + z_i\Omega^n L_{\hat{n}\hat{\jmath}} = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{R}$. This set permits us to express a one part of coefficients (2.17) L_i, L_{ij} etc. via another part of them. Choosing unit coordinate orts as described before eq. (2.20) we have:

$$
\|L_{ij}\| = \|L_{ji}\| = \begin{Vmatrix} L_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\Omega}{R}L_{\hat{2}} \end{Vmatrix}, \qquad \|L_{\hat{\imath}\hat{\jmath}}\| = \|L_{\hat{\jmath}\hat{\imath}}\| = \begin{Vmatrix} L_{\hat{1}\hat{1}} & L_{\hat{1}\hat{2}} & 0 \\ L_{\hat{1}\hat{2}} & L_{\hat{2}\hat{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{R\Omega}L_{\hat{2}} \end{Vmatrix},
$$

$$
\|L_{i\hat{\jmath}}\| = \|L_{\hat{\jmath}i}\| = \begin{Vmatrix} L_{1\hat{1}} & L_{1\hat{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{R}L_{\hat{2}} & \frac{1}{R}L_{\hat{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{R}L_{\hat{1}} \end{Vmatrix} \quad \text{so that} \quad \|L_{i\hat{\jmath}} - L_{\hat{\jmath}i}\| = \begin{Vmatrix} 0 & L_{1\hat{2}} + \frac{1}{R}L_{\hat{2}} & 0 \\ -L_{1\hat{2}} - \frac{1}{R}L_{\hat{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{Vmatrix}.
$$

It follows from these formulae the equations (2.20)-(2.22).

APPENDIX B. NONRELATIVISTIC PARTICLE IN A POWER-LAW POTENTIAL

Let us consider the following Lagrangian of a non-relativistic particle:

$$
L = \frac{m}{2}\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}^2 - a|\boldsymbol{x}|^\nu = \frac{m}{2}\gamma - a\alpha^{\nu/2}, \qquad a\nu > 0. \tag{B.1}
$$

Eqs. (2.12), (2.13) and (2.15) in this case lead to the following circular orbit equation and integrals of motion:

$$
\Omega^2 = \nu\frac{a}{m}R^{\nu-2}, \tag{B.2}
$$

$$
J = mR^2\Omega, \tag{B.3}
$$

$$
E^{(0)} = \tfrac{1}{2}mR^2\Omega^2 + aR^\nu. \tag{B.4}
$$

Combining (B.2) with (B.3) and (B.4) yields the functions which determine the circular orbit Hamiltonian:

$$
\Omega = \left[(\nu a)^2\frac{J^{\nu-2}}{m^\nu}\right]^{\frac{1}{\nu+2}}, \tag{B.5}
$$

$$
H^{(0)} = \left(\frac{\nu}{2}+1\right)\left[a^2\left(\frac{J^2}{\nu m}\right)^\nu\right]^{\frac{1}{\nu+2}}. \tag{B.6}
$$

The radial frequency (2.25) the present case, $\omega^2 = \nu(\nu{+}2)\frac{a}{m}R^{\nu-2}$, simplifies with the use of (B.2):

$$\omega_r = \sqrt{\nu+2}\,\Omega. \tag{B.7}$$

It follows from this that the circular motion is unstable at $\nu \le -2$.

Gathering (B.6), (2.37), (B.7), (B.5) all together and using the quantization rules (2.38) one obtains the energy spectrum:

$$E = \left(\frac{\nu}{2}+1\right)\left[a^2\left(\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{\nu m}\right)^{\nu}\right]^{\frac{1}{\nu+2}}\left\{1+\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\nu+2}}\frac{2n_r+1}{\sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)}}\right\}. \tag{B.8}$$

It coincides exactly with that formula derived in [45] by solving the Schrödinger equation in the oscillator approximation.

In the cases of Coulomb and oscillator potentials we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nu=-1\ :\\
&E = -\frac{a^2m}{2\ell(\ell+1)}\left\{1-\frac{2n_r+1}{\sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)}}\right\} = -\frac{a^2m}{2(\ell+n_r+1)^2}+O(\ell^{-4});\\
&\nu=2\ :\\
&E = \sqrt{\frac{2a}{m}\ell(\ell+1)}\left\{1+\frac{2n_r+1}{\sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)}}\right\} = \sqrt{\frac{2a}{m}}\left\{\ell+2n_r+\frac{3}{2}\right\}+O(\ell^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX C. SCALAR ARGUMENTS OF FOKKERIANS

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha &= \boldsymbol{x}^2 = (\mathsf{S}_1\boldsymbol{z}_1-\mathsf{S}_2\boldsymbol{z}_2)^2 = (\mathsf{S}\boldsymbol{z}_1-\boldsymbol{z}_2)^2\\
&= \boldsymbol{z}^2 + 2\left\{\boldsymbol{z}_1^{\perp}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}_2^{\perp}[1-\cos(\Omega\vartheta)] - (\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{z}_1,\boldsymbol{z}_2)\sin(\Omega\vartheta)\right\}, && \text{(C.1)}\\
\beta_a &= \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a\cdot\boldsymbol{x} = (-)^{\bar a}(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a+\boldsymbol{v}_a)\cdot(\boldsymbol{z}_a-\mathsf{S}_a^{\mathrm{T}}\mathsf{S}_{\bar a}\boldsymbol{z}_{\bar a})\\
&= (-)^{\bar a}(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a+\boldsymbol{v}_a)\cdot\{\boldsymbol{z}_a-\boldsymbol{z}_{\bar a}\cos(\Omega\vartheta)-\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}_{\bar a})[1-\cos(\Omega\vartheta)]\\
&\qquad -(-)^a\boldsymbol{n}\times\boldsymbol{z}_{\bar a}\sin(\Omega\vartheta)\}, \qquad a=1,2;\quad \bar a = 3-a, && \text{(C.2)}\\
\gamma_a &= \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_a^2 = [\mathsf{S}_a(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a+\boldsymbol{v}_a)]^2 = \dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a^2 + 2\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_a\cdot\boldsymbol{v}_a+\boldsymbol{v}_a^2, && \text{(C.3)}\\
\delta &= \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 = [\mathsf{S}_1(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1+\boldsymbol{v}_1)]\cdot[\mathsf{S}_2(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2+\boldsymbol{v}_2)] = [\mathsf{S}(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1+\boldsymbol{v}_1)]\cdot(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2+\boldsymbol{v}_2)\\
&= (\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1+\boldsymbol{v}_1)\cdot(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2+\boldsymbol{v}_2)\cos(\Omega\vartheta) + (\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1)(\boldsymbol{n}\cdot(\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2)[1-\cos(\Omega\vartheta)]\\
&\qquad + (\boldsymbol{n},\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_1+\boldsymbol{v}_1,\dot{\boldsymbol{z}}_2+\boldsymbol{v}_2)\sin(\Omega\vartheta); && \text{(C.4)}
\end{aligned}$$

here $\boldsymbol{v}_a \equiv \boldsymbol{\Omega}\times\boldsymbol{z}_a$ is a vector product of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ and $\boldsymbol{z}_a$; $(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{z}_1,\boldsymbol{z}_2) = \boldsymbol{n}\cdot(\boldsymbol{z}_1\times\boldsymbol{z}_2)$; $\mathsf{S}_a = \exp(t_a\Omega)$ $(a=1,2)$; $\mathsf{S} = \mathsf{S}_1\mathsf{S}_2^{\mathrm{T}} = \exp(\vartheta\Omega)$

APPENDIX D. HAMILTONIZATION OF A SYSTEM OF NONLOCAL OSCILLATORS

Quadratic terms of the action (4.37) can be presented in the following simple form:

$$I^{(2)} = \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{kl}\iint dt dt' \rho^k(t) D_{kl}(t-t')\rho^l(t'), \tag{D.1}$$

where the matrix kernel $\mathsf{D}(t-t') = ||D_{kl}(t-t')||$ (here the multindeces are used: $k, l = (a,i), (b,j)$ etc.) is invariant with respect to time translations and a time reversal: $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{T}}(t'-t) = \mathsf{D}(t-t')$. The time-nonlocal linear equations of motion:

$$\sum_{l}\int dt' D_{kl}(t-t')\rho^l(t') = 0 \tag{D.2}$$

admit a fundamental set of solutions of the form: $\rho^k(t) = e^k(\omega)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega t}$. Their substitution into the equations (D.2) yields the set of algebraic equations:

$$\sum_{l} D_{kl}(\omega)e^l(\omega) = 0, \tag{D.3}$$

which constitute the eigenvector-eigenvalue problem for the polarization vectors $e^k(\omega)$ and characteristic frequencies ω. The latters are determined from the secular equation $\det \mathsf{D}(\omega) = \mathsf{0}$ in terms of the dynamical matrix: $\mathsf{D}(\omega) = \int dt\, \mathsf{D}(t)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega t}$. (Here the Fourier-image $\mathsf{D}(\omega)$ is denoted by the same symbol as the prototype $\mathsf{D}(t)$ but with different argument which might not lead to confusion). Due to non-locality of the problem (D.2) matrix elements $D_{kl}(\omega)$ are non-polynomial, in general, functions of ω. Consequently, solutions of the secular equation form, in general, an infinitely large set of complex and/or real characteristic frequencies. Thanks to symmetry properties of the dynamical matrix:

$$\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{T}}(\omega) = \mathsf{D}(-\omega), \quad \mathsf{D}^{\dagger}(\omega) = \mathsf{D}(\omega^*) \tag{D.4}$$

this set consists of quadruplets $\{\pm\omega_\alpha, \pm\omega_\alpha^*,\ \alpha = 1, 2, \dots\}$ (and/or duplets if $\omega_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$), and a general real solution of the equations (D.2) is:

$$\rho^k(t) = \sum_{\alpha}\left\{A_\alpha e^k_\alpha\ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha t} + A^*_\alpha \overset{*}{e}{}^k_\alpha\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega^*_\alpha t} + B_\alpha f^k_\alpha\ \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega^*_\alpha t} + B^*_\alpha \overset{*}{f}{}^k_\alpha\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha t}\right\}, \tag{D.5}$$

where $e^k_\alpha \equiv e^k(\omega_\alpha)$ and $f^k_\alpha \equiv e^k(\omega^*_\alpha)$.

Arbitrary complex variables A_α and B_α parameterize a phase space $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of the system (infinitely-dimensional, in general) which may include both the physical as well as non-physical degrees of freedom. Complex frequencies cause necessarily difficulties in a physical interpretation of the system which we discuss below (also see [39]). Thus, from the whole variety of frequencies we choose only real ones: $\omega_\alpha^* = \omega_\alpha$. The general solution (D.5) reduces in this case to the following one:

$$\rho^k(t) = \sum_\alpha \left\{ A_\alpha e_\alpha^k \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha t} + A_\alpha^* \overset{*}{e}{}_\alpha^k \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha t} \right\}, \tag{D.6}$$

where summation spreads over those α for which Im $\omega_\alpha = 0$. This is implied in the next subsection too.

D.1. Hamiltonian description: real frequencies

A current problem at this point is to construct the Hamiltonian description for the variational principle (D.1) on the phase subspace $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of solutions (D.6) parameterized by complex variables A_α. An appropriate guideline which we adopt for this purpose is the Hamiltonian formalism for nonlocal Lagrangians proposed by Llosa and coauthors [24–26].

Let us define the time-nonlocal lagrangian:

$$L(t) = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{kl} \int dt' \rho^k(t) D_{kl}(t-t') \rho^l(t'), \tag{D.7}$$

in term of which the action (D.1) takes a usual form $I^{(2)} = \int dt L(t)$, and the functional derivative:

$$E_k(t,t';[\rho]) \equiv \frac{\delta L(t)}{\delta \rho^k(t')} = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_l \rho^l(t) D_{lk}(t-t'). \tag{D.8}$$

Then, following the Ref. [25], the Hamiltonian structure on the phase space of the time-nonlocal system is defined by the symplectic form, i.e., the closed differential 2-form:

$$\Omega = \sum_{kl} \int dt \int ds \int du \chi(t,s) \frac{\delta E_k(-s,t;[\rho])}{\delta \rho^l(u)} \delta\rho^l(u) \wedge \delta\rho^k(t), \tag{D.9}$$
$$\text{where} \quad \chi(t,s) \equiv \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathrm{sgn} t + \mathrm{sgn} s) = \theta(t)\theta(s) - \theta(-t)\theta(-s),$$

$\delta\rho^k(t)$ denotes a functional differential of $\rho^k(t)$, and "$\wedge$" denotes the wedge product. In turns, an explicit calculation of the symplectic form determines PBR of phase variables (in our case - of A_α's).

It is evidently that the symplectic form (D.9) is exact, i.e., $\Omega = \delta\Theta$, where

$$\Theta = \sum_k \int dt \int ds \chi(t,s) E_k(-s,t;[\rho]) \delta\rho^k(t) \tag{D.10}$$

is a 1-form, so called the Liouville form, defined up to arbitrary exact 1-form (i.e., a total differential). A calculation of Θ (rather than Ω) is more simple and convenient for our purpose.

The dynamics of a time-nonlocal system in a phase space is determined by the Hamiltonian [25]:

$$H = \sum_k \int dt \int ds\, \chi(t,s) E_k(-s,t;[\rho]) \dot{\rho}^k(t) - L(t)|_{t=0}. \tag{D.11}$$

Upon integration in eqs. (D.10) and (D.11) the following formula is useful:

$$\int dt \int ds\, \chi(t,s) E(-s,t) f(t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \int\limits_{0}^{s} dt\, E(t-s,t) f(t).$$

Let us calculate the Liouville form Θ. Using (D.6) and (D.8) in (D.10) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{kl} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \int\limits_{0}^{s} dt\, D_{kl}(s) \rho^l(t-s) \delta\rho^k(t) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{kl} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds\, D_{kl}(s) \int\limits_{0}^{s} dt \left(A_\alpha e^l_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha (t-s)} + A^*_\alpha \overset{*}{e}{}^l_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha (t-s)} \right) \times \\ &\qquad \times \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\beta t} e^k_\beta \delta A_\beta + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\beta t} \overset{*}{e}{}^k_\beta \delta A^*_\beta \right)\end{aligned} \tag{D.12}$$

which then is convenient to present in the matrix form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta &= \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{kl}\sum_{\alpha\beta}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds\, D_{kl}(s)\left[\, A_\alpha e^l_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha s},\ \ A^*_\alpha \overset{*}{e}{}^l_\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha s}\,\right] \times \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \int\limits_0^s dt \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,(\omega_\alpha+\omega_\beta)t} & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,(\omega_\alpha-\omega_\beta)t} \\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,(\omega_\alpha-\omega_\beta)t} & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,(\omega_\alpha+\omega_\beta)t} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^k_\beta \delta A_\beta \\ \overset{*}{e}{}^k_\beta \delta A^*_\beta \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{kl}\sum_{\alpha\beta}\left[\, A_\alpha e^l_\alpha,\ \ A^*_\alpha \overset{*}{e}{}^l_\alpha\,\right] \times \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds\, D_{kl}(s) \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha s}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\beta s}}{\omega_\alpha+\omega_\beta} & -\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha s}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\beta s}}{\omega_\alpha-\omega_\beta} \\ \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha s}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\beta s}}{\omega_\alpha-\omega_\beta} & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha s}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\beta s}}{\omega_\alpha+\omega_\beta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^k_\beta \delta A_\beta \\ \overset{*}{e}{}^k_\beta \delta A^*_\beta \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{kl}\sum_{\alpha\beta}\left[\, A_\alpha e^l_\alpha,\ \ A^*_\alpha \overset{*}{e}{}^l_\alpha\,\right] \times \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{D_{lk}(\omega_\alpha)-D_{lk}(-\omega_\beta)}{\omega_\alpha+\omega_\beta} & -\frac{D_{lk}(\omega_\alpha)-D_{lk}(\omega_\beta)}{\omega_\alpha-\omega_\beta} \\ \frac{D_{lk}(-\omega_\alpha)-D_{lk}(-\omega_\beta)}{\omega_\alpha-\omega_\beta} & \frac{D_{lk}(-\omega_\alpha)-D_{lk}(\omega_\beta)}{\omega_\alpha+\omega_\beta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^k_\beta \delta A_\beta \\ \overset{*}{e}{}^k_\beta \delta A^*_\beta \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.13}$$

Due to properties (D.3) and (D.4) all items of the sum (D.13) vanish except those terms which both correspond to $\alpha = \beta$ and include anti-diagonal entries of the square matrix in the last line of (D.13). Residuary terms possess uncertainty 0/0 which can be eliminated by a limit transition:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta &= \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{kl}\sum_{\alpha}\lim_{\lambda\to\omega}\left(A^*_\alpha \overset{*}{e}{}^l_\alpha \frac{D_{lk}(\lambda_\alpha)-D_{lk}(\omega_\alpha)}{\lambda_\alpha-\omega_\alpha} e^k_\alpha \delta A_\alpha - A_\alpha e^l_\alpha \frac{D_{lk}(-\lambda_\alpha)-D_{lk}(-\omega_\alpha)}{\lambda_\alpha-\omega_\alpha} \overset{*}{e}{}^k_\alpha \delta A^*_\alpha \right) \\
&= \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{\alpha}\Delta_\alpha (A^*_\alpha \delta A_\alpha - A_\alpha \delta A^*_\alpha),
\end{aligned} \tag{D.14}$$

where

$$\Delta_\alpha \equiv \sum_{kl} \overset{*}{e}{}^k_\alpha \frac{dD_{kl}(\omega_\alpha)}{d\omega} e^l_\alpha = \Delta^*_\alpha. \tag{D.15}$$

In order to calculate the Hamiltonian we first note that the Lagrangian (D.7) equals to zero by virtue of the equations of motion (D.2), thus the last term of (D.11) vanishes. The residuary sum in (D.11) can be obtained from the Liouville form (D.10) by means of formal substitution $\delta A_\alpha \to -\mathrm{i}\,\omega_\alpha A_\alpha$. Thus one gets:

$$H = \sum_\alpha \Delta_\alpha \omega_\alpha |A_\alpha|^2. \tag{D.16}$$

If $\Delta_\alpha > 0$ one can redefine polarization vectors $e^k_\alpha \to \tilde{e}^k_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha^{-1/2} e^k_\alpha$ in (D.6) so that the

Liouville form and the Hamiltonian simplify:

$$\Theta = \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{\alpha}(A^*_\alpha \delta A_\alpha - A_\alpha \delta A^*_\alpha), \tag{D.17}$$

$$H = \sum_{\alpha}\omega_\alpha |A_\alpha|^2. \tag{D.18}$$

Then one gets from (D.17) the symplectic form:

$$\Omega = \delta\Theta = \mathrm{i}\sum_{\alpha}\delta A^*_\alpha \wedge \delta A_\alpha \tag{D.19}$$

which generates the following PBR: $\{A_\alpha, A_\beta\} = \{A^*_\alpha, A^*_\beta\} = 0$, $\{A_\alpha, A^*_\beta\} = -\mathrm{i}\,\delta_{\alpha\beta}$. Upon quantization $A_\alpha \to \hat{A}_\alpha$, $A^*_\alpha \to \hat{A}^\dagger_\alpha$ one obtains standard annihilation and creation operators: $[\hat{A}_\alpha, \hat{A}^\dagger_\beta] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$; the Hamiltonian (D.18) takes the standard oscillator form and leads immediately to the discrete spectrum $E = \sum_\alpha \omega_\alpha(n_\alpha + \frac{1}{2})$, $n_\alpha = 0, 1, \ldots$. We will refer to variables A_α, A^*_α, and the form (D.19) as canonical ones.

Let us now $\{\alpha\} = \{\alpha'\}\cup\{\alpha''\}$ such that $\Delta_{\alpha'} > 0$ and $\Delta_{\alpha''} < 0$. Redefining the polarization vectors $e^k_\alpha \to \tilde{e}^k_\alpha = |\Delta_\alpha|^{-1/2}e^k_\alpha$ and then the canonical variables $A_{\alpha''} \to C_{\alpha''} = A^*_{\alpha''}$ reduce the Liouville form (D.14) to the canonical one:

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{\alpha'}(A^*_{\alpha'}\delta A_{\alpha'} - A_{\alpha'}\delta A^*_{\alpha'}) - \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{\alpha''}(A^*_{\alpha''}\delta A_{\alpha''} - A_{\alpha''}\delta A^*_{\alpha''}) \\ &= \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{\alpha'}(A^*_{\alpha'}\delta A_{\alpha'} - A_{\alpha'}\delta A^*_{\alpha'}) + \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{\alpha''}(C^*_{\alpha''}\delta C_{\alpha''} - C_{\alpha''}\delta C^*_{\alpha''}).\end{aligned}$$

The Hamiltonian in this case,

$$H = \sum_{\alpha'}\omega_{\alpha'}|A_{\alpha'}|^2 - \sum_{\alpha''}\omega_{\alpha''}|C_{\alpha''}|^2,$$

is not positively defined which feature is characteristic of higher derivative and time-nonlocal systems. [39].

D.2. Hamiltonian description: complex frequencies

If Im $\omega_\alpha \neq 0$, the corresponding terms in (D.5) are unbounded which fact contradicts the taken approximation of small ρ^k. One can chose a dumping solution by putting $B_\alpha = 0$ in (D.5). This case however cannot be turned into the Hamiltonian formalism, using the scheme by Llosa et.al. [25]. To see this we consider briefly a general case of complex frequencies.

Substituting the solution (D.5) into (D.10) and accomplishing similar to eqs. (D.12)-(D.14) (but more cumbersome) calculations yields the Liouville form:

$$\Theta = \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{\alpha}\left\{\Delta_\alpha(B_\alpha^*\delta A_\alpha - A_\alpha\delta B_\alpha^*) + \Delta_\alpha^*(A_\alpha^*\delta B_\alpha - B_\alpha\delta A_\alpha^*)\right\}, \tag{D.20}$$

with complex (contrary to (D.15)) coefficients

$$\Delta_\alpha \equiv \sum_{kl}\overset{*}{f}{}_\alpha^k\frac{dD_{kl}(\omega_\alpha)}{d\omega}e_\alpha^l \neq \Delta_\alpha^*.$$

Then the redefinition $e_\alpha^k \to \tilde{e}_\alpha^k = \Delta_\alpha^{-1/2}e_\alpha^k$, $f_\alpha^k \to \tilde{f}_\alpha^k = \overset{*}{\Delta}{}_\alpha^{-1/2}f_\alpha^k$ in (D.5) reduce (D.20) to the form:

$$\Theta = \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sum_{\alpha}\left(B_\alpha^*\delta A_\alpha - A_\alpha\delta B_\alpha^* + A_\alpha^*\delta B_\alpha - B_\alpha\delta A_\alpha^*\right). \tag{D.21}$$

Similarly one obtains the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{\alpha}\left(\omega_\alpha B_\alpha^* A_\alpha + \omega_\alpha^* A_\alpha^* B_\alpha\right). \tag{D.22}$$

The Liouville form (D.21) is not split in variables A_α and B_α which thus are not canonical nor appropriate for quantization. Properties of the Hamiltonian (D.22) in these variables are obscured. Thus we change variables into canonical ones. For a brevity we consider only one mode corresponding to some quadruplet of characteristic frequencies. Thus hereinafter the indices α and summation over α are omitted.

A choice of canonical variables is not unique. One may choose for one a complex variables a, b as follows:

$$A = (a - b^*)/\sqrt{2}, \qquad B = (a + b^*)/\sqrt{2},$$

in terms of which the Liouville form indeed becomes separated and canonical:

$$\Theta = \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(B^*\delta A - A\delta B^* + A^*\delta B - B\delta A^*) = \tfrac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(a^*\delta a - a\delta a^* + b^*\delta b - b\delta b^*).$$

On the contrary, the Hamiltonian does not split in a and b modes,

$$H = \tfrac{1}{2}(\omega B^* A + \omega^* A^* B) = \tfrac{1}{2}\left\{\mathrm{Re}\,\omega(a^*a - b^*b) + \mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\omega(ba - b^*a^*)\right\}.$$

In this case, real canonical variables are more appropriate for a quantization. If one chooses:

$$A = (-P + \mathrm{i}\,p)/\sqrt{2}, \qquad B = (q + \mathrm{i}\,Q)/\sqrt{2},$$

the Liouville form standardizes: $\Theta \overset{\mathrm{md}}{=\!=} p\delta q + P\delta Q$ (the notation "md" means "up to a total differential") while $H = -\mathrm{Re}\,\omega(qP - Qp) - \mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Im}\,\omega(qp + QP)$. In this form the Hamiltonian has been quantized in [39] and its spectrum is shown to be continuous and unbounded both from below and from above. A physical meaning of such a system is doubtful.

APPENDIX E. ARISTOTLE-INVARIANCE PROPERTIES OF THE DYNAMICAL MATRIX

$$L_{ai} + \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\Omega^{k}L_{a\hat{l}} = 0, \tag{E.1}$$

$$L_{aij} + \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\Omega^{k}L_{a\hat{l}j} = 0, \tag{E.2}$$

$$L_{ai\hat{\jmath}} + \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\Omega^{k}L_{a\hat{l}\hat{\jmath}} = 0, \tag{E.3}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\{z_a^k L_{al} + \Omega^k \varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n} z_a^m L_{a\hat{n}}\} = 0, \tag{E.4}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\{z_a^k L_{alj} + \Omega^k \varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n} z_a^m L_{a\hat{n}j}\} + \varepsilon_{ij}{}^{l}L_{al} + \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\varepsilon_{lj}{}^{n}\Omega^k L_{a\hat{n}} = 0, \tag{E.5}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\{z_a^k L_{al\hat{\jmath}} + \Omega^k \varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n} z_a^m L_{a\hat{n}\hat{\jmath}}\} + \varepsilon_{ij}{}^{l}L_{a\hat{l}} = 0, \tag{E.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda_{ai} + \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\Omega^{k}\Lambda_{a\hat{l}} + n_i n^m\{\Lambda_{\bar{a}n} + \varepsilon_{nk}{}^{l}\Omega^k\Lambda_{\bar{a}\hat{l}}\} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \mathrm{Pr}_i^n\{\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}n}(\Omega) + \varepsilon_{nk}{}^{l}\Omega^k\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}\hat{l}}(\Omega)\} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda_{aij} + \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\Omega^{k}\Lambda_{a\hat{l}j} + n_i n^n\{\Lambda_{\bar{a}n\,aj} + \varepsilon_{nk}{}^{l}\Omega^k\Lambda_{\bar{a}\hat{l}\,aj}\} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \mathrm{Pr}_i^n\{\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}n\,aj}(\Omega) + \varepsilon_{nk}{}^{l}\Omega^k\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}\hat{l}\,aj}(\Omega)\} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Lambda_{ai\hat{\jmath}} + \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\Omega^{k}\Lambda_{a\hat{l}\hat{\jmath}} + n_i n^n\{\Lambda_{\bar{a}n\,a\hat{\jmath}} + \varepsilon_{nk}{}^{l}\Omega^k\Lambda_{\bar{a}\hat{l}\,a\hat{\jmath}}\} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \mathrm{Pr}_i^n\{\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}n\,a\hat{\jmath}}(\Omega) + \varepsilon_{nk}{}^{l}\Omega^k\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}\hat{l}\,a\hat{\jmath}}(\Omega)\} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\{z_a^k\Lambda_{al} + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\Lambda_{a\hat{n}}\} \\
&\qquad + n_i n^q\varepsilon_{qk}{}^{l}\{z_a^k\Lambda_{\bar{a}l} + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\Lambda_{\bar{a}\hat{n}}\} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \mathrm{Pr}_i^q\,\varepsilon_{qk}{}^{l}\{z_a^k\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}l}(\Omega) + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}\hat{n}}(\Omega)\} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\{z_a^k\Lambda_{alj} + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\Lambda_{a\hat{n}j}\} \\
&\qquad + n_i n^q\varepsilon_{qk}{}^{l}\{z_a^k\Lambda_{\bar{a}l\,aj} + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\Lambda_{\bar{a}\hat{n}\,aj}\} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \mathrm{Pr}_i^q\,\varepsilon_{qk}{}^{l}\{z_a^k\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}l\,aj}(\Omega) + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}\hat{n}\,aj}(\Omega)\} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad + \varepsilon_{ij}{}^{l}\Lambda_{al} + \varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\varepsilon_{lj}{}^{n}\Omega^k\Lambda_{a\hat{n}} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon_{ik}{}^{l}\{z_a^k\Lambda_{al\hat{\jmath}} + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\Lambda_{a\hat{n}\hat{\jmath}}\} \\
&\qquad\qquad + n_i n^q\varepsilon_{qk}{}^{l}\{z_a^k\Lambda_{\bar{a}l\,a\hat{\jmath}} + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\Lambda_{\bar{a}\hat{n}\,a\hat{\jmath}}\} \\
&\quad + \mathrm{Pr}_i^q\,\varepsilon_{qk}{}^{l}\{z_a^k\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}l\,a\hat{\jmath}}(\Omega) + \Omega^k\varepsilon_{lm}{}^{n}z_a^m\check{\Phi}_{\bar{a}\hat{n}\,a\hat{\jmath}}(\Omega)\} + \varepsilon_{ij}{}^{l}\Lambda_{a\hat{l}} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.12}$$

where $\boldsymbol{z}_1 = \boldsymbol{R}_1$, $\boldsymbol{z}_2 = -\boldsymbol{R}_2$ and $\mathrm{Pr}_i^k \equiv \delta_i^k - n_i n^k$.

[1] P. Havas, *Galilei- and Lorentz-invariant particle systems and their conservation laws*, in *Problems in the Foundations of Physics* (Springer, Berlin, 1971), 31-48.

[2] E. H. Kerner (ed.) *The Theory of Action-at-a-Distance in Relativistic Particle Mechanics*, Collection of reprints (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1972).

[3] K. Schwarzschild, *Zur Elektrodynamik. II. Die elementare elektrodynamische Kraft*, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen **128**, 132-141 (1903).

[4] H. Tetrode, *Über der Wirkungzusammenhang der Welt. Eine Erweiterung der klassischen Dynamik*, Z. Phys. **10**, 317-328 (1922).

[5] A. D. Fokker, *Ein invarianter Variationsatz für die Bewegung mehrerer elektrischer Massenteilchen*, Z. Phys. **28**, No 5-6, 386-393 (1929).

[6] J. A. Wheeler, R. P. Feynman, *Interaction with the absorber as the mechanism of radiation*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **17**, No 2-3, 157-181 (1945).

[7] J. A. Wheeler, R. P. Feynman, *Classical electrodynamics in terms of direct interparticle action*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **21**, No 3, 425-433 (1949).

[8] P. Ramond, *Action-at-a-distance theories and dual models*, Phys. Rev. D **7**, No 2, 449-458 (1973).

[9] V. I. Tretyak, *Fokker-type action integrals and forms of relativistic Lagrangian dynamics.* Thesis on search of the degree of doctore of physical and mathematical sciences (Lviv State University, Lviv, 1996), 306 p. [in Ukrainian].

[10] V. I. Tretyak, *Forms of relativistic Lagrangian dynamics* (Naukova Dumka, Kyïv, 2011), 280 p. [in Ukrainian].

[11] A. Yu. Turygin, *Equations of motion in second approximation of direct gravitational interaction theory*, in *Problems of theory of gravitation and elementary particles.* Issue 13 (Energoatomizdat, Moscow, 1982), 80-85 [in Russian].

[12] Yu. S. Vladimirov, A. Yu. Turygin, *Theory of direct interparticle interaction* (Energoatomizdat, Moscow, 1986), 136 p. [in Russian].

[13] F. Hoyle, J. V. Narilikar, *Action at a distance in physics and cosmology* (Freemen, New York, 1974), 264 p.

[14] F. Hoyle, J. V. Narilikar, *Cosmology and action-at-a-distance electrodynamics*, Rev. Mod.

Phys. **67**, No 1, 113-155 (1995).

[15] A. Rivacoba, *Fokker-action principle for a system of particles interacting through a linear potential*, Nuovo Cimento B **84**, No 1, 35-42 (1984).

[16] J. Weiss, *Is there action-at-a-distance linear confinement ?* J. Math. Phys. **27**, No 4, 1015-1022 (1986).

[17] A. Duviryak, *Fokker-type confinement models from effective Lagrangian in classical Yang-Mills theory*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **14**, No 28, 4519-4547 (1999).

[18] A. Duviryak, *The two-particle time-asymmetric relativistic model with confinement interaction and quantization*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16**, No 16, 2771-2788 (2001).

[19] D. J. Louis-Martines, *Relativistic action at a distance and fields*, Found. Phys. **42**, No 2, 215-223 (2012).

[20] D. J. Louis-Martines, *Relativistic non-instantaneous action-at-a-distance interactions*, Phys. Lett. B **632**, No 5-6, 733-739 (2006).

[21] H. W. Woodcock, P. Havas, *Approximately relativistic Lagrangians for classical interacting point particles*, Phys. Rev. D **6**, No 12, 3422-3444 (1972).

[22] R. P. Gaida, V. I. Tretyak, *Single-time form of the Fokker-type relativistic dynamics*, Acta Phys. Pol. B **11**, No 7, 502-522 (1980).

[23] R. P. Gaida, Yu. B. Kluchkovsky, V. I. Tretyak, *Three-dimensional Lagrangian approach to the classical relativistic dynamics of directly interacting particles*, in *Constraint's Theory and Relativistic Dynamics*, eds. G. Longhi, L. Lusanna (World Scientific Publ., Singapore, 1987), 210-241.

[24] X. Jaén, R. Jáuregui, J. Llosa, A. Molina, *Hamiltonian formalism for path-dependent Lagrangians*, Phys. Rev. D **36**, No 8, 2385-2398 (1987).

[25] J. Llosa, J. Vives, *Hamiltonian formalism for nonlocal Lagrangians*, J. Math. Phys. **35**, No 6, 2856-2877 (1994).

[26] X. Jaén, R. Jáuregui, J. Llosa, A. Molina, *Canonical formalism for path-dependent Lagrangians. Coupling constant expansion*, J. Math. Phys **30**, No 12, 2807-2814 (1989).

[27] A. Schild, *Electromagnetic two-body problem*, Phys. Rev. **131**, No 6, 2762-2766 (1963).

[28] C. M. Andersen, H. C. von Baeyer, *Circular orbits in classical relativistic two-body systems*, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), **60**, No 1, 67-84 (1970).

[29] A. Degasperis, *Bohr quantization of relativistic bound states of two point particles*, Phys. Rev.

D **3**, No 2, 273-279 (1971).

[30] C. M. Andersen, H.C. von Baeyer, *Almost circular orbits in classical action-at-a-distance electrodynamics*, Phys. Rev. D, **5**, No 4, 802-813 (1972).

[31] S. V. Klimenko, I. N. Nikitin, W. F. Urazmetov, *On structure of solutions of one-dimensional two-body problem in Wheeler-Feynman electrodynamics*, Nuovo Cim. A **111**, No 11, 1281-1297 (1998); arXiv: hep-th/9906005.

[32] I. N. Nikitin, J. De Luca, *Numerical methods for the three-dimensional two-body problem in action-at-a-distance electrodynamics*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C **12**, No 5, 739-750 (2001); arXiv: hep-th/0105285.

[33] J. De Luca, *Variational principle for the WheelerFeynman electrodynamics*, J. Math. Phys. **50**, No 6, 062701 (2009).

[34] H. C. von Baeyer, *Semiclassical quantization of the relativistic Kepler problem*, Phys. Rev. D **12**, No 10, 3086-3091 (1975).

[35] W. H. Miller, *Semiclassical quantization of nonseparable systems: a new look at periodic orbit theory*, J. Che. Phys. **63**, No 2, 996-999 (1975).

[36] J.-M. Souriau, *Structure des systémes dynamiques* (Dunod, Paris 1970), p.175 [Engl. transl: J.-M. Souriau, *Structure of dynamical Systems*, in *Progress in mathematics* (Birkhauser, Boston, Mass. 1997)].

[37] B. Chaverondier, *Special relativity and possible Lorentz violations consistently coexist in Aristotle space-time*, arXiv:0805.2417.

[38] W. N. Herman, *Formulation of Noether's theorem for Fokker-type variational principles* J. Math. Phys. **26**, No 11, 2769-2776 (1985).

[39] A. Pais, G. E. Uhlenbeck, *On field theories with non-localized action*, Phys. Rev. *79*, No 1, 145-165 (1950).

[40] Yu. Yaremko, V. Tretyak, *Radiation reaction in classical fielf theory: basics, concepts, methods* (LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, Saarbrücken, 2012), 436 p.

[41] B. Bakamjian, L. H. Thomas, *Relativistic particle dynamics. II*, Phys. Rev. **92**, No 5, 1300-1310 (1953).

[42] A. A. Duviryak, *A class of canonical realizations of the Poincaré group*, in *Methods for studying differential and integral operators* (Naukova Dumka, Kyïv, 1989), 59-66 [in Russian].

[43] S. N. Sokolov, A. N. Shatnii, *Physical equivalence of the three forms of relativistic dynamics*

and addition of interactions in the front and instant forms, Theor. Math. Phys. **37**, No 3, 1029-1038 (1978).

[44] W. N. Polyzou, *Relativistic two-body models*, Ann. Phys. **193**, No 2, 367-418 (1989).

[45] W. Lucha, F. F. Schoberl, D. Gromes, *Bound states of quarks*, Phys. Rep. **200**, No 4, 127-240 (1991).

[46] A. Duviryak, *Quantization of almost-circular orbits in the Fokker action formalism. Regge trajectories*, arXiv:1210.5458.